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#### Abstract

For any $n$, we describe all endomorphisms of the braid group $B_{n}$ and of its commutator subgroup $B_{n}^{\prime}$, as well as all homomorphisms $B_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{n}$. These results are new only for small $n$ because endomorphisms of $B_{n}$ are already described by Castel for $n \geq 6$, and homomorphisms $B_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{n}$ and endomorphisms of $B_{n}^{\prime}$ are already described by Kordek and Margalit for $n \geq 7$. We use very different approaches for $n=4$ and for $n \geq 5$.


RÉsumé. Pour tout $n$ nous décrivons tous les endomophismes du groupe de tresses $B_{n}$ et de son sous-groupe dérivé $B_{n}^{\prime}$ ainsi que tous les homomorphismes $B_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{n}$. Ces résultats ne sont nouveaux que pour $n$ petits parce que les endomorphismes de $B_{n}$ sont déjà décrits par Castel pour $n \geq 6$ et les homomorphismes $B_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{n}$ ainsi que les endomorphismes de $B_{n}^{\prime}$ sont décrits par Kordek et Margalit pour $n \geq 7$. Nous utilisons des approches très différentes pour $n=4$ et pour $n \geq 5$.

## Introduction

Let $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ be the braid group with $n$ strings. It is generated by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ (called standard or Artin generators) subject to the relations

$$
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \text { for }|i-j|>1 ; \quad \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \text { for }|i-j|=1
$$

Let $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ be the commutator subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$.
In this paper we describe all endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ and homomorphisms $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ for any $n$. These results are new only for small $n$ because endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ are described by Castel in [4] for $n \geq 6$, and homomorphisms $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ and endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ are described by Kordek and Margalit in [11] for $n \geq 7$.

The automorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ have been already known for any $n$ : Dyer and Grossman [5] proved that the only non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Out}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right)$ corresponds to the automorphism $\Lambda$ defined by $\sigma_{i} \mapsto \sigma_{i}^{-1}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and in [17] we proved that the restriction map $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 4\left(\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}\right.$ is a free group of rank 2, thus its automorphisms are known as well; see e.g. [15]).

The problem to study homomorphisms between braid groups and, especially, between their commutator subgroups was posed by Vladimir Lin [12-14] because he found its applications to the problem of superpositions of algebraic functions (the initial motivation for Hilbert's 13th problem); see [13] and references therein.

Let us formulate the main results. We start with those about homomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ and to itself.

I am grateful to the referee for remarks and corrections.

Theorem 1.1. (proven for $n \geq 7$ in [11]). Let $n \geq 5$. Then every non-trivial homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$.

We prove this theorem in $\S 2$. Since $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for $n \geq 5$, the following two corollaries are, in fact, equivalent versions of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. If $n \geq 5$, then any non-trivial endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ is bijective.
Corollary 1.3. If $n \geq 5$, then any non-trivial homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ composed with the inclusion map.

Let $R$ be the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
R: \mathbf{B}_{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{3}, \quad \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3} \mapsto \sigma_{1}, \quad \sigma_{2} \mapsto \sigma_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we denote it by $R$ because, if we interpret $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ as $\pi_{1}\left(X_{n}\right)$ where $X_{n}$ is the space of monic squarefree polynomials of degree $n$, then $R$ is induced by the mapping which takes a degree 4 polynomial to its cubic resolvent).

For a group $G$, we denote its commutator subgroup, center, and abelianization by $G^{\prime}, Z(G)$, and $G^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ respectively. We also denote the inner automorphism $y \mapsto x y x^{-1}$ by $\tilde{x}$, the commutator $x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ by $[x, y]$, and the centralizer of an element $x$ (resp. of a subgroup $H$ ) in $G$ by $Z(x ; G)$ (resp. by $Z(H ; G)$ ).

Given two group homomorphisms $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ and $\tau: G_{1}^{\mathfrak{a b}} \rightarrow Z\left(\operatorname{im} f ; G_{2}\right)$, we define the transvection of $f$ by $\tau$ as the homomorphism $f_{[\tau]}: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ given by $x \mapsto f(x) \tau(\bar{x})$ where $\bar{x}$ is the image of $x$ in $G_{1}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$. To simplify notation, we will not distinguish between $\tau$ and its composition with the canonical projection $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{1}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$. So, we shall often speak of a transvection by $\tau: G_{1} \rightarrow Z\left(\operatorname{im} f ; G_{2}\right)$.

We say that two homomorphisms $f, g: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ are equivalent if there exists $h \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{2}\right)$ such that $f=h g$. If, moreover, $h \in \operatorname{Inn}\left(G_{2}\right)$, we say that $f$ and $g$ are conjugate.

Theorem 1.4. Any homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ either is equivalent to a transvection of the inclusion map, or $\varphi=f R$ for a homomorphism $f: \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ (since $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ is free [9], it has plenty of homomorphisms to any group).

We prove this theorem in $\S 3$.
Corollary 1.5. Any endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ is either an automorphism or a composition of $R$ with a homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$.

As we already mentioned, $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ is free, thus its homomorphisms are evident. Now let us describe endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$. We say that a homomorphism is cyclic if its image is a cyclic group (probably, infinite cyclic).
Theorem 1.6. (proven for $n \geq 6$ in [4]). If $n \geq 5$, then any non-cyclic endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ is a transvection of an automorphism.

For $n \geq 7$, this result is derived in [11] from Theorem 1.1. The same proof works without any change for any $n \geq 5$.

Theorem 1.7. Any endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ is either a transvection of an automorphism, or it is of the form $f R$ for some $f: \mathbf{B}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ (see Proposition 1.9 for a general form of such $f$ ).

This theorem also can be derived from Theorem 1.4 in the same way as it is done in [11] for $n \geq 7$.

Let $\Delta=\Delta_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} \sigma_{j}$ (the Garside's half-twist), $\delta=\delta_{n}=\sigma_{n-1} \ldots \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}$, and $\gamma=\gamma_{n}=\sigma_{1} \delta_{n}$. One has $\delta^{n}=\gamma^{n-1}=\Delta^{2}$, and it is known that $Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right)$ is generated by $\Delta^{2}$, and each periodic braid (i.e. a root of a central element) is conjugate to $\delta^{k}$ or $\gamma^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is well-known that $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ admits a presentation $\left\langle\Delta, \delta \mid \Delta^{2}=\delta^{3}\right\rangle$. By combining this fact with basic properties of canonical reduction systems, it is easy to prove the following descriptions of homomorphisms from $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ to $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ for $n=3$ or 4 .
Proposition 1.8. Any non-cyclic endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ is equivalent to a transvection by $\tau$ of a homomorphism of the form $\Delta \mapsto \Delta, \delta \mapsto X \delta X^{-1}$ for some $X \in \mathbf{B}_{3}$ and $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\mathfrak{a b b}} \rightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{3}\right)=\left\langle\Delta^{2}\right\rangle$.
Proposition 1.9. For any non-cyclic homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{B}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$, one of the following two possibilities holds:
(a) $\varphi$ is equivalent to a transvection by $\tau$ of a homomorphism of the form $\Delta_{3} \mapsto$ $\Delta_{4}, \delta_{3} \mapsto X \gamma_{4} X^{-1}$ for some $X \in \mathbf{B}_{4}$ and $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\mathfrak{a b}} \rightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)=\left\langle\Delta_{4}^{2}\right\rangle$;
(b) $\varphi$ is equivalent to $(\iota \psi)_{[\tau]}$ where $\psi$ is a non-cyclic endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{3}$, $\iota: \mathbf{B}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ is the standard embedding, and $\tau$ is a homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\mathfrak{a b b}} \rightarrow$ $Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)=\left\langle\Delta_{4}^{2}\right\rangle$.

Remark 1.10. Since $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b b}} \cong Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the transvection in Theorem 1.6 (and in the non-degenerate case in Theorem 1.7) is uniquely determined by a single integer number. In contrast, $\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathfrak{a b}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, thus the transvection in Theorem 1.4 depends on two integers (here $\left.Z\left(\operatorname{im}\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}\right) ; \mathbf{B}_{4}\right)=Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} ; \mathbf{B}_{4}\right)=Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Notice also that two transvections are involved in the case (b) of Proposition 1.9, thus the general form of $\varphi$ in this case is

$$
\Delta_{3} \mapsto f\left(\iota\left(\Delta_{3}\right)^{6 k+1} \Delta_{4}^{6 l}\right), \quad \delta_{3} \mapsto f\left(\iota\left(X \delta_{3} X^{-1} \Delta_{3}^{4 k}\right) \Delta_{4}^{4 l}\right)
$$

with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in \mathbf{B}_{3}, f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$.

## 2. The case $n \geq 5$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 which describes homomorphisms $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ for $n \geq 5$. The proof is very similar to the proof of the case $n \geq 5$ of the main theorem of [17] which describes Aut $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. As we already mentioned, Theorem 1.1 for $n \geq 7$ is proven by Kordek and Margalit in [11]. Some elements of their proof are valid for $n \geq 5$ (see Proposition 2.4 below) which allowed us to omit a big part of our original proof based on [17].

Let $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ be the symmetric group. Let $e: \mathbf{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu: \mathbf{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n}$ be the homomorphisms defined on the generators by $e\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=1$ and $\mu\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=(i, i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. So, $e(X)$ is the exponent sum (signed word length) of $X$. Let $\mathbf{P}_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \mu$ be the pure braid group. Following [12], we denote $\mathbf{P}_{n} \cap \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ by $\mathbf{J}_{n}$, and $\left.\mu\right|_{\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}}$ by $\mu^{\prime}$, thus $\mathbf{J}_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \mu^{\prime}$.

For a pure braid $X$, we denote the linking number between the $i$-th and the $j$-th strings of $X$ by $\mathrm{lk}_{i j}(X)$. It can be defined as $\frac{1}{2} e\left(X_{i j}\right)$ where $X_{i j}$ is the 2 -braid obtained from $X$ by removal of all strings except the $i$-th and the $j$-th ones. For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, we set $\sigma_{i j}=\left(\sigma_{j-1} \ldots \sigma_{i+1}\right) \sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j-1} \ldots \sigma_{i+1}\right)^{-1}$ (here $\left.\sigma_{i, i+1}=\sigma_{i}\right)$. Then $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ is generated by $\left\{\sigma_{i j}^{2}\right\}_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}$ (see [1]) and we denote the image of $\sigma_{i j}^{2}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ by $A_{i j}$. We use the additive notation for $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([17, Lemma 2.3]). $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}$ (for any n) is free abelian group with basis $\left(A_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}$. The natural projection $\mathbf{P}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}}$ is given by $X \mapsto \sum_{i<j} \operatorname{lk}_{i j}(X) A_{i j}$.

If $n \geq 5$, then the homomorphism $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}$ induced by the inclusion map defines an isomorphism of $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ with $\left\{\sum x_{i j} A_{i j} \mid \sum x_{i j}=0\right\}$ (notice that this statement is wrong for $n=3$ or 4 ; see [17, Proposition 2.4]).

From now on, till the end of this section, we assume that $n \geq 5$ and $\varphi: \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ is a non-cyclic homomorphism. Since any group homomorphism $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ maps $G_{1}^{\prime}$ to $G_{2}^{\prime}$, we have $\varphi\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. By [9] (see also [17, Remark 2.2]), we have $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$, thus

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Then [12, Theorem D] implies that

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{J}_{n}\right) \subset \mathbf{J}_{n} .
$$

Thus we may consider the endomorphism $\varphi_{*}$ of $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ induced by $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbf{J}_{n}}$. We shall not distinguish between $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ and its isomorphic image in $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ (see Lemma 2.1).

Following [12], we set

$$
c_{i}=\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{i} \quad(i=3, \ldots, n-1) \quad \text { and } c=c_{3} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$ and $\varphi(c)=c$. Then $\varphi_{*}=\mathrm{id}$.
Proof. The exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{n} \rightarrow 1$ defines an action of $\mathbf{A}_{n}$ on $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ by conjugation. Let $V$ be a complex vector space with base $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ endowed with the natural action of $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ induced by the action on the base. We identify $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ with its image in the symmetric square $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} V$ under the homomorphism $A_{i j} \rightarrow e_{i} e_{j}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we may identify $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ with $\left\{\sum x_{i j} e_{i} e_{j} \mid x_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum x_{i j}=0\right\}$. These identifications are compatible with the action of $\mathbf{A}_{n}$. Thus $W:=\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a $\mathbb{C} A_{n}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Sym}^{2} V$.

For an element $v$ of a $\mathbb{C S}_{n}$-module, let $\langle v\rangle_{\mathbb{C S}_{n}}$ be the $\mathbb{C S}_{n}$-submodule generated by $v$. It is shown in the proof of [17, Lemma 3.1], that $W=W_{2} \oplus W_{3}$ where

$$
W_{2}=\left\langle\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{3}+\cdots+e_{n}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C S}_{n}}, \quad W_{3}=\left\langle\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{3}-e_{4}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C S}_{n}}
$$

and that $W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ are irreducible $\mathbb{C S}_{n}$-modules isomorphic to the Specht modules corresponding to the partitions $(n-1,1)$ and $(n-2,2)$ respectively. Since the Young diagrams of these partitions are not symmetric, $W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ are also irreducible as $\mathbb{C A}_{n}$-modules.

The condition $\mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$ implies that $\varphi_{*}$ is $\mathbf{A}_{n}$-equivariant. Hence, by Schur's lemma, $\varphi_{*}=a \mathrm{id}_{W_{2}} \oplus b \mathrm{id}_{W_{3}}$. We have the identity

$$
(n-2)\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right) e_{3}=\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{3}+\cdots+e_{n}\right)+\sum_{i \geq 4}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{3}-e_{i}\right)
$$

whence, denoting $e_{5}+\cdots+e_{n}$ by $e$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-2) \varphi_{*}\left(\left(e_{1}-e_{3}\right) e_{2}\right)=\left(e_{1}-e_{3}\right)\left(a\left(e_{2}+e_{4}+e\right)+b\left((n-3) e_{2}-e_{4}-e\right)\right), \\
& (n-2) \varphi_{*}\left(\left(e_{2}-e_{4}\right) e_{3}\right)=\left(e_{2}-e_{4}\right)\left(a\left(e_{1}+e_{3}+e\right)+b\left((n-3) e_{3}-e_{1}-e\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition $\varphi(c)=c$ implies the $\varphi$-invariance of $c^{2} \in \mathbf{J}_{n}$. Since the image of $c^{-2}$ in $\mathbf{J}_{n}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ is $A_{12}-A_{34}$, we obtain that $e_{1} e_{2}-e_{3} e_{4}$ is $\varphi_{*}$-invariant. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-2)\left(e_{1} e_{2}-e_{3} e_{4}\right) & =(n-2) \varphi_{*}\left(e_{1} e_{2}-e_{3} e_{4}\right) \\
& =(n-2) \varphi_{*}\left(\left(e_{1}-e_{3}\right) e_{2}+\left(e_{2}-e_{4}\right) e_{3}\right) \\
& =(2 a+(n-4) b)\left(e_{1} e_{2}-e_{3} e_{4}\right)+(a-b)\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}\right) e
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{e_{i} e_{j}\right\}_{i \leq j}$ is a base of $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} V$, it follows that $2 a+(n-4) b=n-2$ and $a-b=0$ whence $a=b=1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be equivalent homomorphisms $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$. Then $\mu \varphi_{1}$ and $\mu \varphi_{2}$ are conjugate.

Proof. This fact immediately follows from Dyer - Grossman's [5] classification of automorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ (see the beginning of the introduction) because $\mu \Lambda=\mu$.
Proposition 2.4. (Kordek and Margalit [11, §3, Proof of Thm. 1.1, Cases 1-3 and Step 1 of Case 4]). There exists $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right)$ such that $f \varphi\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{i}$ for each odd $i$ in the range $3 \leq i<n$ (recall that we assume $n \geq 5$ ).

This proposition implies, in particular, that $\mu \varphi$ is non-trivial, hence by Lin's result [12, Theorem C] $\mu \varphi$ is conjugate either to $\mu^{\prime}$ or to $\nu \mu^{\prime}$ (when $n=6$ ) where $\nu$ is the restriction to $\mathbf{A}_{6}$ of the automorphism of $\mathbf{S}_{6}$ given by $(12) \mapsto(12)(34)(56)$, (123456) $\mapsto(123)(45)$ (it represents the only nontrivial element of $\operatorname{Out}\left(\mathbf{S}_{6}\right)$ ).

Lemma 2.5. If $n=6$, then $\mu \varphi$ is not conjugate to $\nu \mu^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let $H$ be the subgroup generated by $c_{3}$ and $c_{5}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 we may assume that $\left.\varphi\right|_{H}=\mathrm{id}$. Then we have

$$
\mu^{\prime}(H)=\mu \varphi(H)=\{\operatorname{id},(12)(34),(12)(56),(34)(56)\}
$$

In particular, no element of $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ is fixed by all elements of $\mu \varphi(H)$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \mu^{\prime}(H)=\{\operatorname{id},(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus 5 and 6 are fixed by all elements of $\nu \mu^{\prime}(H)$. Hence these subgroups are not conjugate in $\mathbf{S}_{6}$.
Lemma 2.6. There exists $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}\right)$ such that $f \varphi(c)=c$ and $\mu f \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(c)=c \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mu \varphi$ is non-trivial, hence, by [12, Thm. C] combined with Lemma 2.5, it is conjugate to $\mu^{\prime}$, i.e. there exists $\pi \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$ such that $\tilde{\pi} \mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$, i.e. $\pi \mu(\varphi(x))=\mu(x) \pi$ for each $x \in \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. For $x=c$ this implies by (3) that $\pi$ commutes with (12)(34), hence $\pi=\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$ where $\pi_{1} \in V_{4}$ (the group in the right hand side of (2)) and $\pi_{2}(i)=i$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Let $\tilde{V}_{4}=\left\{1, c, \Delta_{4}, c \Delta_{4}\right\}$. This is not a subgroup but we have $\mu\left(\tilde{V}_{4}\right)=V_{4}$. We can choose $y_{1} \in \tilde{V}_{4}$ and $y_{2} \in\left\langle\sigma_{5}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right\rangle$ so that $\mu\left(y_{j}\right)=\pi_{j}$,
$j=1,2$. Let $y=y_{1} y_{2}$. Then we have $\tilde{y}(c)=c^{ \pm 1}$ and $\mu \tilde{y} \varphi=\tilde{\pi} \mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$. Thus, for $f=\Lambda^{k} \tilde{y}, k \in\{0,1\}$, we have $f \varphi(c)=c$ and $\mu f \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$.

Due to Lemma 2.6, from now on we assume that $\mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$ and $\varphi(c)=c$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\varphi_{*}=\mathrm{id}$, hence (see Lemma 2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{lk}_{i j}(x)=\mathrm{lk}_{i j}(\varphi(x)) \quad \text { for any } x \in \mathbf{J}_{n} \text { and } 1 \leq i<j \leq n . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting at this point, the proof of [17, Thm. 1.1] given in [17, §5], can be repeated almost word-by-word in our setting. The only exception is the proof of [17, Lemma 5.8] (which is Lemma 2.11 below) where the invariance of the isomorphism type of centralizers of certain elements is used as well as Dyer-Grossman result [5]. However, as pointed out in [17, Remark 5.15] (there is a misprint there: $n \geq 6$ should be replaced by $n \geq 5$ ), there is another, even simpler, proof of Lemma 2.11 based on Lemma 2.7 (see below). This proof was not included in [17] by the following reason. At that time we new only Garside-theoretic proof of Lemma 2.7 while the rest of the proof of the main theorem for $n \geq 6$ used only NielsenThurston theory and results of [12]. So we wanted to make the proofs (at least for $n \geq 6$ ) better accessible for readers who are not familiar with the Garside theory. Now we learned from [11] that when we wrote that paper, Lemma 2.7 had been already known for a rather long time [2, Lemma 4.9] and the proof in [2] is based on Nielsen-Thurston theory.

In the rest of this section, for the reader's convenience we re-expose Section 5.1 of [17] (Sections 5.2-5.3 can be left without any change). In this re-exposition we give another proof of [17, Lemma 5.8] and omit the lemmas which are no longer needed due to Proposition 2.4.

We shall consider $\mathbf{B}_{n}$ as a mapping class group of $n$-punctured disk $\mathbb{D}$. We assume that $\mathbb{D}$ is a round disk in $\mathbb{C}$ and the set of the punctures is $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Given an embedded segment $I$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with endpoints at two punctures, we denote with $\sigma_{I}$ the positive half-twist along the boundary of a small neighborhood of $I$. The set of all such braids is the conjugacy class of $\sigma_{1}$ in $\mathbf{B}_{n}$. The arguments in the rest of this section are based on Nielsen-Thurston theory. The main tool are the canonical reduction systems. One can use [3], [6], or [10] as a general introduction to the subject. In [17] we gave all precise definitions and statements needed there (using the language and notation inspired mostly by [8]).
Lemma 2.7. ([2, Lemma 4.9], [17, Lemma A.2]). Let $x, y \in \mathbf{B}_{n}$ be such that $x y x=y x y$ and each of $x$ and $y$ is conjugate to $\sigma_{1}$. Then there exists $u \in \mathbf{B}_{n}$ such that $\tilde{u}(x)=\sigma_{1}$ and $\tilde{u}(y)=\sigma_{2}$.

Let $\operatorname{sh}_{2}: \mathbf{B}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ be the homomorphism $\operatorname{sh}_{2}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i+2}$. We set

$$
\tau=\sigma_{1}^{(n-2)(n-3)} \operatorname{sh}_{2}\left(\Delta_{n-2}^{-2}\right)
$$

We have $\tau \in \mathbf{J}_{n}$ (in the notation of [17], $\tau=\psi_{2, n-2}\left(1 ; \sigma_{1}^{(n-2)(n-3)}, \Delta^{-2}\right)$ ). Recall that we assume $\varphi(c)=c, \mu \varphi=\mu^{\prime}$, and hence (4) holds.
Lemma 2.8. Let $I$ and $J$ be two disjoint embedded segments with endpoints at punctures. Then $\varphi\left(\sigma_{I}^{-1} \sigma_{J}\right)=\sigma_{I_{1}}^{-1} \sigma_{J_{1}}$ where $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$ are disjoint embedded segments such that $\partial I_{1}=\partial I$ and $\partial J_{1}=\partial J$.
Proof. The braid $\sigma_{I}^{-1} \sigma_{J}$ is conjugate to $c$, hence so is its image (because $\varphi(c)=c$ ). Therefore $\varphi\left(\sigma_{I}^{-1} \sigma_{J}\right)=\sigma_{I_{1}}^{-1} \sigma_{J_{1}}$ for some disjoint $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$. The matching of the boundaries follows from (4) applied to $\sigma_{I}^{-2} \sigma_{J}^{2}$.

Lemma 2.9. (cf. [17, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3]). Let $C_{1}$ be a component of the canonical reduction system of $\varphi(\tau)$. Then $C_{1}$ cannot separate the punctures 1 and 2 , and it cannot separate the punctures $i$ and $j$ for $3 \leq i<j \leq n$.
Proof. Let $u=\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{i j}, 3 \leq i<j \leq n$. By Lemma 2.8, $\varphi(u)=\sigma_{I}^{-1} \sigma_{J}$ with $\partial I=\{1,2\}$ and $\partial J=\{i, j\}$. Since $\varphi(u)$ commutes with $\varphi(\tau)$, the result follows.

Lemma 2.10. (cf. [17, Lemma 5.7]). $\varphi(\tau)$ is conjugate in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ to $\tau$.
Proof. $\varphi(\tau)$ cannot be pseudo-Anosov because it commutes with $\varphi(c)$ which is $c$ by our assumption, hence it is reducible.

If $\varphi(\tau)$ were periodic, then it would be a power of $\Delta^{2}$ because it is a pure braid. This contradicts (4), hence $\varphi(\tau)$ is reducible non-periodic.

Let $C$ be the canonical reduction system for $\varphi(\tau)$. By Lemma 2.9, one of the following three cases occurs.

Case 1. $C$ is connected, the punctures 1 and 2 are inside $C$, all the other punctures are outside $C$. Then the restriction of $\varphi(\tau)$ (viewed as a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ ) to the exterior of $C$ cannot be pseudo-Anosov because $\varphi(\tau)$ commutes with $\varphi(c)=c$, hence it preserves a circle which separates 3 and 4 from $5, \ldots, n$. Hence $\varphi(\tau)$ is periodic which contradicts (4). Thus this case is impossible.

Case 2. $C$ is connected, the punctures 1 and 2 are outside $C$, all the other punctures are inside $C$. This case is also impossible and the proof is almost the same as in Case 1. To show that $\varphi(\tau)$ cannot be pseudo-Anosov, we note that it preserves a curve which encircles only 1 and 2 .

Case 3. $C$ has two components: $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ which encircle $\{1,2\}$ and $\{3, \ldots, n\}$ respectively. Let $\alpha$ be the interior braid of $C_{2}$ (that is $\varphi(\tau)$ with the strings 1 and 2 removed). It cannot be pseudo-Anosov by the same reasons as in Case 1: because $\varphi(\tau)$ preserves a circle separating 3 and 4 from $5, \ldots, n$. Hence $\alpha$ is periodic. Using (4), we conclude that $\varphi(\tau)$ is a conjugate of $\tau$. Since the elements of $Z\left(\tau ; \mathbf{B}_{n}\right)$ realize any permutation of $\{1,2\}$ and of $\{3, \ldots, n\}$, the conjugating element can be chosen in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$.

Lemma 2.11. (cf. [17, Lemma 5.8]). There exists $u \in \mathbf{P}_{n}$ such that $\varphi\left(c_{i}\right)=\tilde{u}\left(c_{i}\right)$ for each $i=3, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.10, without loss of generality we may assume that $\varphi(\tau)=\tau$ and $\tau(C)=C$ where $C$ is the canonical reduction system for $\tau$ consisting of two round circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ which encircle $\{1,2\}$ and $\{3, \ldots, n\}$ respectively. Since the conjugating element in Lemma 2.10 is chosen in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$, we may assume that (4) still holds.

By Lemma 2.8, for each $i=3, \ldots, n-1$, we have $\varphi\left(c_{i}\right)=\sigma_{I_{i}}^{-1} \sigma_{J_{i}}$ with $\partial I_{i}=\{1,2\}$ and $\partial J_{i}=\{i, i+1\}$. Since $\tau$ commutes with each $c_{i}$, the segments $I_{i}$ and $J_{i}$ can be chosen disjoint from the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Hence $\sigma_{I_{i}}=\sigma_{1}$ for each $i$, and all the segments $J_{i}$ are inside $C_{2}$.

Therefore the braids $\sigma_{J_{3}}, \ldots, \sigma_{J_{n-1}}$ satisfy the same braid relations as $\sigma_{3}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.7 combined with [17, Lemma 5.13], $J_{3} \cup \cdots \cup J_{n-1}$ is an embedded segment. Hence it can be transformed to the straight line segment $[3, n]$ by a diffeomorphism identical on the exterior of $C_{2}$. Hence for the braid $u$ represented by this diffeomorphism we have $\tilde{u}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{i}, i \geq 3$. The condition $\partial J_{i}=\{i, i+1\}$ implies that $u \in \mathbf{P}_{n}$.

The rest of the proof of Theorem 1.1 repeats word-by-word [17, §§5.2-5.3].
Remark 2.12. Besides Nielsen-Thurston theory, in the case $n=5$, the arguments in $[17, \S 5.3]$ use an auxiliary result [17, Lemma A.1] for which the only proof we know is based on a slight modification of the main theorem of [16] which is proven there using the Garside theory.

## 3. The case $n=4$

We shall use the same notation as in $[17, \S 6]$. The groups $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ were computed in [9], namely $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ is freely generated by $u=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ and $t=\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}$, and $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}=\mathbf{K}_{4} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ where $\mathbf{K}_{4}=\operatorname{ker} R$ (see (1)). The group $\mathbf{K}_{4}$ is freely generated by $c=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ and $w=\sigma_{2} c \sigma_{2}^{-1}$. The action of $\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbf{K}_{4}$ by conjugation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u c u^{-1}=w, \quad u w u^{-1}=w^{2} c^{-1} w, \quad t c t^{-1}=c w, \quad t w t^{-1}=c w^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ on $\mathbf{K}_{4}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} c \sigma_{1}^{-1}=c, \quad \sigma_{1} w \sigma_{1}^{-1}=c^{-1} w, \quad \sigma_{2} c \sigma_{2}^{-1}=w, \quad \sigma_{2} w \sigma_{2}^{-1}=w c^{-1} w \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we also have $\mathbf{B}_{4}=\mathbf{K}_{4} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{3}$.
Besides the elements $c, w, u, t$ of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$, we consider also

$$
d=\Delta \sigma_{1}^{-3} \sigma_{3}^{-3} \quad \text { and } \quad g=R(d)=\Delta_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{-6}
$$

(here and below $\Delta=\Delta_{4}$ ). One has (see Figure 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left[c^{-1} t, u^{-1}\right], \quad g=\left[t, u^{-1}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the subgroup generated by $c$ and $d$ by $H$ and the subgroup generated by $c$ and $g$ by $G$.


Figure 1. The identity $d=\left[c^{-1} t, u^{-1}\right]$.
Let $\varphi: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ be a homomorphism such that $\mathbf{K}_{4} \not \subset \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.
Lemma 3.1. The restriction of $\varphi$ to $H$ is injective, $\varphi(H) \subset \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$, and $\varphi(G) \subset \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$. Proof. We have $H=\langle c\rangle \rtimes\langle d\rangle$ and $d$ acts on $c$ by $d c d^{-1}=c^{-1}$. Hence any nontrivial normal subgroup of $H$ contains a power of $c$. Thus, if $\left.\varphi\right|_{H}$ were not injective, $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ would contain a power of $c$ and hence $c$ itself because the target group $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ does not have elements of finite order. Then we also have $w \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ because $w=u c u^{-1}$. This contradicts the assumption $\mathbf{K}_{4}=\langle c, w\rangle \not \subset \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, thus $\left.\varphi\right|_{H}$ is injective.

We have $d c d^{-1}=c^{-1}$, hence the image of $\varphi(c)$ under the abelianization $e: \mathbf{B}_{4} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}$ is zero, i.e., $\varphi(c) \in \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$. By (7) we also have $\varphi(d) \in \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi(g) \in \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$, thus $\varphi(H) \subset \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi(G) \subset \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$.


Figure 2. The identity $g c g^{-1}=w^{-1} c^{-1} w$.
Lemma 3.2. $\varphi(c)$ and $\varphi(g)$ do not commute.
Proof. Suppose that $\varphi(c)$ and $\varphi(g)$ commute. Then $\varphi(c)=\varphi\left(g c g^{-1}\right)$. Hence (see Figure 2) $\varphi(c)=\varphi\left(w^{-1} c^{-1} w\right)$, i.e., $\varphi$ factors through the quotient of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ by the relation $w c=c^{-1} w$. Let us denote this quotient group by $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{4}^{\prime}$.

The relation $w c=c^{-1} w$ allows us to put any word $\prod_{j} c^{k_{j}} w^{l_{j}}$ with $l_{j}= \pm 1$ into the normal form $c^{k_{1}-k_{2}+k_{3}-\ldots} w^{l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\ldots}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{4}^{\prime}$. Due to (5), the conjugation by $t$ of the word $w^{-1} c w c$ (which is equal to 1 in $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{4}^{\prime}$ ) yields

$$
1=t\left(w^{-1} c w c\right) t^{-1}=\left(w^{-2} c^{-1}\right)(c w)\left(c w^{2}\right)(c w)=w^{-1} c w^{2} c w=c^{-2} w^{2}
$$

(here in the last step we put the word into the above normal form). Conjugating once more by $t$ and putting the result into the normal form, we get

$$
1=t\left(c^{-2} w^{2}\right) t^{-1}=\left(w^{-1} c^{-1}\right)\left(w^{-1} c^{-1}\right)\left(c w^{2}\right)\left(c w^{2}\right)=w^{-1} c^{-1} w c w^{2}=c^{2} w^{2}
$$

Thus $c^{-2} w^{2}=c^{2} w^{2}=1$, i.e., $c^{4}=1$ in $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{4}^{\prime}$, hence $\varphi\left(c^{4}\right)=1$ which contradicts Lemma 3.1.

As in [17], we denote the stabilizer of 1 under the natural action of $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ on $\{1,2,3\}$ by $\mathbf{B}_{1,2}$. It is well-known (and easy to prove by Reidemeister-Schreier method) that $\mathbf{B}_{1,2}$ is isomorphic to the Artin group of type $B_{2}$, that is $\langle x, y \mid x y x y=y x y x\rangle$. The Artin generators $x$ and $y$ of the latter group correspond to $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}$.
Lemma 3.3. (cf. [17, Lemma 6.2]) We have $G=Z\left(d^{2} c^{6} ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and this group is generated by $g$ and $c$ subject to the defining relation $g c g c=c g c g$.
Proof. The centralizer of $d^{2} c^{6}$ in $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ is the stabilizer of its canonical reduction system which is shown in Figure 4, and (see [8, Thm. 5.10]) it is the image of the injective homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{1,2} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4},(X, n) \mapsto Y \sigma_{1}^{n}$, where the 4-braid $Y$ is obtained from the 3 -braid $X$ by doubling the first strand. It follows that $Z\left(d^{2} c^{6} ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ is the isomorphic image of $\mathbf{B}_{1,2}$ under the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{B}_{1,2} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ defined on the generators by $\psi\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)=g, \psi\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=c$ (see Figure 3), thus $Z\left(d^{2} c^{6} ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)=G$. As we have pointed out above, $\mathbf{B}_{1,2}$ is the Artin group of type $B_{2}$, hence so is $G$ and $g c g c=c g c g$ is its defining relation.


Figure 3. The images of the generators under $\psi: \mathbf{B}_{1,2} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.4. $\varphi\left(d^{2} c^{6}\right)$ is conjugate in $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ to $d^{2 k}, d^{2 k} c^{6 k}$, or $h^{k}$ for some integer $k \neq 0$, where $h=\Delta^{2} \Delta_{3}^{-4}=\Delta_{3}^{-2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}$.
Proof. Let $x=d^{2} c^{6}$. By Lemma 3.3, $G=Z\left(x ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, hence $\varphi(G) \subset Z\left(\varphi(x) ; \mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 we also have $\varphi(G) \subset \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$, hence $\varphi(G) \subset Z\left(\varphi(x) ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $Z\left(\varphi(x) ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ is non-commutative. The isomorphism classes of the centralizers (in $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ ) of all elements of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ are computed in [17, Table 6.1]. We see in this table that $Z\left(\varphi(x) ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ is non-commutative only in the required cases (see the corresponding canonical reduction systems in Figure 4) unless $\varphi(x)=1$. However the latter case is impossible by Lemma 3.1.


Figure 4. Canonical reduc. systems for $d^{m}, c^{m},\left(d^{2} c^{6}\right)^{m}, h^{m}, m \neq 0$.
Lemma 3.5. There exists an automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ which takes $\varphi(c)$ and $\varphi(d)$ to $c^{k}$ and $d^{k}$ respectively for an odd positive integer $k$.

Proof. Let $x=d^{2} c^{6}$ and $y=d^{2} c^{-6}$. Since $y=d x d^{-1}$, the images of $x$ and $y$ are conjugate and both of them belong to one of the conjugacy classes indicated in Lemma 3.4. The canonical reduction systems for $d^{2 k}, d^{2 k} c^{6 k}$, and $h^{k}$ for $k \neq 0$ are shown in Figure 4. Since $x$ and $y$ commute, the canonical reduction systems of their images can be chosen disjoint from each other. Hence, up to composing $\varphi$ with an inner automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4},(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ is either $\left(h^{k_{1}}, h^{k_{2}}\right)$ or $\left(d^{2 k_{1}} c^{l_{1}}, d^{2 k_{2}} c^{l_{2}}\right)$ where $l_{j} \in\left\{0, \pm 6 k_{j}\right\}, j=1,2$. Since $x$ and $y$ are conjugate, by comparing the linking numbers between different pairs of strings, we deduce that $k_{1}=k_{2}$ and (in the second case) $l_{1}= \pm l_{2}$. Moreover, $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence, up to exchange of $x$ and $y$ (which is realizable by composing $\varphi$ with $\tilde{d}$ ), we have $\varphi(x)=d^{2 k} c^{6 k}$ and $\varphi(y)=d^{2 k} c^{-6 k}$ whence, using that $x y^{-1}=c^{12}$, we obtain $\varphi\left(c^{12}\right)=\varphi\left(x y^{-1}\right)=c^{12 k}$. Since the canonical reduction systems of any braid and its non-zero power coincide (see, e.g., [7, Lemmas 2.1-2.3]), we obtain $\varphi(c)=c^{k}$ and $\varphi(d)=d^{k}$. By composing $\varphi$ with $\Lambda$ if necessary, we can arrive to $k>0$. The relation $d^{k} c^{k} d^{-k}=c^{-k}$ combined with Lemma 3.1 implies that $k$ is odd.

Lemma 3.6. $\varphi\left(\mathbf{K}_{4}\right) \subset \mathbf{K}_{4}$.
Proof. Lemma 3.5 implies that $c^{k}$ is mapped to $\varphi(c)$ by an automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4}$. Since $\mathbf{K}_{4}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ (see [17, Lemma 6.5] ${ }^{1}$ ) and $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_{4}$, we deduce that $\varphi(c) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. The same arguments can be applied to any other homomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ whose kernel does not contain $\mathbf{K}_{4}$, in particular, they can be applied to $\varphi \tilde{u}$ whence $\varphi \tilde{u}(c) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. Since $\varphi(w)=\varphi \tilde{u}(c)$, we conclude that $\varphi\left(\mathbf{K}_{4}\right)=\langle\varphi(c), \varphi(w)\rangle \subset \mathbf{K}_{4}$.

Let

$$
F=G \cap \mathbf{K}_{4} .
$$

[^0]Lemma 3.7. (a). The group $F$ is freely generated by $c$ and $c_{1}=w^{-1} c^{-1} w$.
(b). Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ be non-zero integers, and let $a_{0}$ and $a_{m}$ be any integers. Then $c^{a_{0}} w^{b_{1}} c^{a_{1}} \ldots w^{b_{m}} c^{a_{m}}$ is in $F$ if and only if $m$ is even and $b_{j}=(-1)^{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, m$.

Proof. The relation on $g$ and $c$ in Lemma 3.3 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1} c g c=c g c g^{-1} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $G=\langle c, g\rangle$. We have $R(c)=1$ and, by (7), $g=R(d) \in \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ whence $R(g)=g$. Hence $R(G)$ is generated by $g$. By definition, $F=\operatorname{ker}\left(\left.R\right|_{G}\right)$, hence $F$ is the normal closure of $c$ in $G$, i.e., $F$ is generated by the elements $\tilde{g}^{k}(c), k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $\tilde{g}(c)=c_{1}$ (see Figure 2) and

$$
\tilde{g}\left(c_{1}\right)=\tilde{g}^{2}(c)=g c^{-1}\left(c g c g^{-1}\right) g^{-1} \stackrel{\text { by }}{=}(8) g c^{-1}\left(g^{-1} c g c\right) g^{-1}=c_{1}^{-1} c c_{1}
$$

whence by induction we obtain $\tilde{g}^{k}(c) \in\left\langle c, c_{1}\right\rangle$ for all positive $k$. Similarly,

$$
\tilde{g}^{-1}(c)=\left(g^{-1} c g c\right) c^{-1} \stackrel{\text { by }}{=}=\left(c g c g^{-1}\right) c^{-1}=c\left(g c g^{-1}\right) c^{-1}=c c_{1} c^{-1}
$$

and $\tilde{g}^{-1}\left(c_{1}\right)=c$ whence $\tilde{g}^{k}(c) \in\left\langle c, c_{1}\right\rangle$ for all negative $k$. Thus $F=\left\langle c, c_{1}\right\rangle$.
To check that $c$ and $c_{1}$ is a free base of $F$ (which completes the proof of (a)), it is enough to observe that if, in a reduced word in $x, y$, we replace each $x^{k}$ with $c^{k}$ and each $y^{k}$ with $w^{-1} c^{-k} w$, then we obtain a reduced word in $c$ and $w$. The statement (b) also easily follows from this observation.
Lemma 3.8. If $x \in F$ and $x=\left[w^{-1}, A\right]$ with $A \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$, then $x=\left[w^{-1}, c^{k}\right], k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Let $A=w^{b_{1}} c^{a_{1}} \ldots w^{b_{m}} c^{a_{m}} w^{b_{m+1}}, m \geq 0$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are non-zero while $b_{1}$ and $b_{m+1}$ may or may not be zero. If $m=0$, then $\left[w^{-1}, A\right]=$ $1=\left[w^{-1}, c^{0}\right]$ and we are done. If $m=1$, then $\left[w^{-1}, A\right]=w^{b_{1}-1} c^{a_{1}} w c^{-a_{1}} w^{-b_{1}}$ where, by Lemma 3.7(b), we must have $b_{1}=0$, hence $\left[w^{-1}, A\right]=\left[w^{-1}, c^{a_{1}}\right]$ as required. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. Then

$$
\left[w^{-1}, A\right]=w^{b_{1}-1} c^{a_{1}} \ldots w^{b_{m}} c^{a_{m}} w c^{-a_{m}} w^{-b_{m}} \ldots c^{-a_{1}} w^{-b_{1}}
$$

and this is a reduced word in $c, w$. Hence, by Lemma 3.7(b), the sequence of the exponents of $w$ in this word (starting form $b_{1}-1$ when $b_{1} \neq 1$ or from $b_{2}$ when $b_{1}=1$ ) should be $(-1,1,-1,1, \ldots,-1,1)$. Such a sequence cannot contain $\left(\ldots, b_{m}, 1,-b_{m}, \ldots\right)$. A contradiction.
Lemma 3.9. If $\varphi\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2}$ and $\varphi(c)=c$, then $w^{-1} \varphi(w) \in F$.
Proof. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\sigma_{3}^{k} w=\sigma_{3}^{k}\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right)=\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}\right) \sigma_{2}^{k}\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right)=\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right) \sigma_{1}^{k}=w \sigma_{1}^{k}
$$

hence $\sigma_{3}^{k} w \sigma_{1}^{-k}=w=\sigma_{3}^{-k} w \sigma_{1}^{k}$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} w d^{-2}=\Delta^{2} \sigma_{1}^{-6}\left(\sigma_{3}^{-6} w \sigma_{1}^{6}\right) \sigma_{3}^{6} \Delta^{-2}=\sigma_{1}^{-6}\left(\sigma_{3}^{6} w \sigma_{1}^{-6}\right) \sigma_{3}^{6}=c^{6} w c^{6} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $x=w^{-1} \varphi(w)$, i.e., $\varphi(w)=w x$. The relation (9) combined with our hypothesis on $c$ and $d^{2}$ implies

$$
c^{6} w x c^{6}=\varphi\left(c^{6} w c^{6}\right)=\varphi\left(\tilde{d}^{2}(w)\right)=\tilde{d}^{2}(w x)=\tilde{d}^{2}(w) \tilde{d}^{2}(x)=c^{6} w c^{6} d^{2} x d^{-2}
$$

whence $x\left(c^{6} d^{2}\right)=\left(c^{6} d^{2}\right) x$, i.e., $x \in Z\left(d^{2} c^{6}\right)$. On the other hand, $\varphi(w) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$ by Lemma 3.6, hence $x=w^{-1} \varphi(w) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $Z\left(d^{2} c^{6} ; \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}\right)=G$, thus $x \in Z\left(d^{2} c^{6}\right) \cap \mathbf{K}_{4}=G \cap \mathbf{K}_{4}=F$.

Lemma 3.10. There exists $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$ and a homomorphism $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$ such that $f \varphi(c)=c, f \varphi\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2}$, and $R f \varphi=R \operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.5 we may assume that $\varphi(c)=c^{k}$ and $\varphi(d)=d^{k}$ for an odd positive $k$. For $x \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$, we denote its image in $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}$ by $\bar{x}$ and we use the additive notation for $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}$. Consider the homomorphism $\pi: \mathbf{B}_{4} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b}}\right)=\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\pi(x)$ is defined as the automorphism of $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$ induced by $\tilde{x}$; here we identify $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by choosing $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{w}$ as a base of $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}}$. By Lemma 3.6, $\varphi(w) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$, hence we may write $\overline{\varphi(w)}=p \bar{c}+q \bar{w}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbf{B}_{4}$, we have

$$
\pi \varphi(x) . P=P \cdot \pi(x) \quad \text { where } \quad P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
k & p  \tag{10}\\
0 & q
\end{array}\right) .
$$

( $P$ is the matrix of the endomorphism of $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}$ induced by $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}$ ). By (9) we have

$$
\pi\left(d^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 12  \tag{11}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { hence } \quad \pi\left(d^{2 k}\right) \cdot P-P \cdot \pi\left(d^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 12 k(q-1) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\varphi\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2 k}$, we obtain from (10) combined with (11) that $q=1$, i.e., $\overline{\varphi(w)}=$ $p \bar{c}+\bar{w}$. By (5) we have $\varphi(u) c^{k} \varphi(u)^{-1}=\varphi\left(u c u^{-1}\right)=\varphi(w)$, hence

$$
k \overline{\varphi(u) c \varphi(u)^{-1}}=\overline{\varphi(w)}=p \bar{c}+\bar{w} .
$$

Therefore $k=1$ because $p \bar{c}+\bar{w}$ cannot be a multiple of another element of $\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b}}$. Notice that $\tilde{\sigma}_{1}(c)=c, \tilde{\sigma}_{1}\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}_{1}(w)=c^{-1} w\left(\right.$ see (6)). Hence, for $f=\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \varphi(c)=c, \quad f \varphi\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2}, \quad \overline{f \varphi(w)}=\bar{w} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that $R f \varphi=R \mathrm{id}_{[\tau]}$ for some $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$. Let $x \in \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}=\mathbf{K}_{4} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{4}=\mathbf{K}_{4} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{3}$, we may write $x=x_{1} a_{1}$ and $f \varphi(x)=x_{2} a_{2}$ with $x_{1}=R(x) \in \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}, x_{2}=R f \varphi(x) \in \mathbf{B}_{3}$, and $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. The equation (10) for $f \varphi$ (and hence with the identity matrix for $P$ because (12) means that $\left.f \varphi\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}$ induces the identity mapping of $\left.\mathbf{K}_{4}^{\mathfrak{a b b}}\right)$ reads $\pi f \varphi(x)=\pi(x)$, that is $\pi\left(x_{2} a_{2}\right)=$ $\pi\left(x_{1} a_{1}\right)$. Since $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbf{K}_{4} \subset \operatorname{ker} \pi$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\pi\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $S_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$. It is well-known that the mapping $\sigma_{1} \mapsto S_{1}$, $\sigma_{2} \mapsto S_{2}$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathbf{B}_{3} /\left\langle\Delta_{3}^{4}\right\rangle$ and $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. From (6) we see that $\pi\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=S_{1}$ and $\pi\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)=S_{2}$. Hence $\operatorname{ker}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{\mathbf{B}_{3}}\right)=\left\langle\Delta_{3}^{4}\right\rangle=R\left(Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)\right)$. Therefore (13) implies that $x_{2}=x_{1} R(\tau(x))$ for some element $\tau(x)$ of $Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$. It is easy to check that $\tau$ is a group homomorphism, thus, recalling that $x_{1}=R(x)$ and $x_{2}=R f \varphi(x)$, we get $R f \varphi(x)=x_{2}=x_{1} R(\tau(x))=R(x \tau(x))=R \operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}(x)$.
Lemma 3.11. If $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}=\mathrm{id}$ and $R \varphi=R \mathrm{id}_{[\tau]}$ for some homomorphism $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$, then $\varphi=\mathrm{id}_{[\tau]}$.
Proof. Since $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime}=\mathbf{K}_{4} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{4} \subset \operatorname{ker} \tau$, it is enough to show that $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$. So, let $x \in \mathbf{B}_{3}^{\prime}$. The condition $R \varphi=R \operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$ means that $\varphi(x)=x a \tau(x)$ with $a \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$.

Let $b$ be any element of $\mathbf{K}_{4}$. Then $x b x^{-1} \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$, hence $\varphi\left(x b x^{-1}\right)=x b x^{-1}$ (because $\left.\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}=\mathrm{id}\right)$. Since $\varphi(x)=x a \tau(x), \varphi(b)=b$, and $\tau(x)$ is central, it follows that

$$
x b x^{-1}=\varphi\left(x b x^{-1}\right)=\varphi(x) b \varphi(x)^{-1}=x a \tau(x) b \tau(x)^{-1} a^{-1} x^{-1}=x a b a^{-1} x^{-1}
$$

whence $a b a^{-1}=b$. This is true for any $b \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$, thus $a \in Z\left(\mathbf{K}_{4}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{K}_{4}$ is free, we deduce that $a=1$, hence $\varphi(x)=x \tau(x)=\operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall that we assume in this section that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{4}$ such that $\mathbf{K}_{4} \not \subset \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

By Lemma 3.10 we may assume that $\varphi(c)=c, \varphi\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{2}$, and $R \varphi=R \operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$ for some $\tau: \mathbf{B}_{4}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z\left(\mathbf{B}_{4}\right)$, in particular, $R \varphi(u)=R(u \tau(u))$. The latter condition means that $\varphi(u)=u a \tau(u)$ with $a \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. Then, by (5), we have

$$
\varphi(w)=\varphi\left(u c u^{-1}\right)=u a c a^{-1} u^{-1}=\tilde{u}\left(c\left[c^{-1}, a\right]\right)=w\left[w^{-1}, \tilde{u}(a)\right],
$$

thus $w^{-1} \varphi(w)=\left[w^{-1}, A\right]$ for $A=\tilde{u}(a) \in \mathbf{K}_{4}$. By Lemma 3.9 we have also $w^{-1} \varphi(w) \in F$. Then Lemma 3.8 implies that $w^{-1} \varphi(w)=\left[w^{-1}, c^{k}\right]$ for some integer $k$, that is $\varphi(w)=c^{k} w c^{-k}$. Hence, $\left.\left(\tilde{c}^{-k} \varphi\right)\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}=\operatorname{id}$. Since $c \in \operatorname{ker} R$, we have $R \tilde{c}^{-k}=R$ whence $R \tilde{c}^{-k} \varphi=R \varphi=R \operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$. This fact combined with $\left.\left(\tilde{c}^{-k} \varphi\right)\right|_{\mathbf{K}_{4}}=\mathrm{id}$ and Lemma 3.11 implies that $\tilde{c}^{-k} \varphi=\operatorname{id}_{[\tau]}$, i.e., $\varphi$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{id}_{[\tau]}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is based on [17, Lemma 6.3] whose proof should be considered as a hint rather than a proof.

