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an example in connection with the jacobian conjecture
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Introduction. Let $F$ and $G$ be polynomials of two complex variables $x$ and $y$. The wellknown Jacobian conjecture consists in the following: if $\mathrm{FXG}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ const $\neq 0$, then the polynomials $F$ and $G$ determine an invertible mapping $C^{2} \rightarrow C^{2}$ (see, for example, [1]). In this case it is easy to show that the inverse mapping is also polynomial.

The Jacobian conjecture can be reformulated in the following way. Let $\ell$ be an infinitely remote direct line in $\mathbf{C P}^{2}, \mathrm{U}$ its tubular neighborhood (the exterior of a big ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ ), and let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be meromorphic functions on $U$, holomorphic on $U-\ell$ and defining a locally one-toone mapping $f: U-l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$. Then the Jacobian conjecture is equivalent to the injectivity of this mapping. Indeed, the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by the theorem on elimination of compact singularities can be continued to holomorphic functions on the whole $\mathrm{C}^{2}=\mathrm{CP}^{2}-l$. From the meromorphic property of these functions on $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$, and the holomorphic property on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ it follows that they are polynomial, and from the fact that the Jacobian is not null outside some ball it follows that it is not null on the whole $\mathbf{C}^{2}$.

If with the help of the $\sigma$-processes we solve the undefined points of the mapping $f$, then the meromorphic property of the original mapping leads to rather strong limitations on the topology of the resulting surface and its mappings. Moreover, the image of the branching curve is an infinitely distant direct line, each with one branch at infinity.

Now we shall formulate the main result of the present note (Sec. 1 is devoted to its proof).

Proposition 0.1. There exists a smooth (noncompact) complex-analytic surface $\tilde{X}$, with a smooth curve $\overline{\mathrm{L}}$ in it, isomorphic to $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$, with self-intersection number +1 , and two functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$, meromorphic on $\mathbb{X}$, such that the mapping $\tilde{X}-\tilde{L}$, determined by these functions, $f: \bar{X}-\tilde{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ is locally one-to-one, but not injective.

It is obvious that if $\tilde{U}$ is a tubular neighborhood curve $\tilde{L}$, and $U$ is a tubular neighborhood of the direct line $\ell$ on $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$, then the pairs ( $\tilde{U}, \tilde{L}$ ) and ( $U, \ell$ ) are $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphic. Thus there exists a smooth noninvertible embedding of the exterior of some ball into C- ,
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which resembles a polynomial mapping with respect to its geometrical properties. If, however, the pairs ( $\tilde{U}, \tilde{L}$ ) and ( $U, \ell$ ) were bi-holomorphic equivalent, then, as we mentioned above, we would obtain a counterexample to the Jacobian conjecture.

On the other hand, if the restriction of the mapping $f$ to the boundary $\tilde{S}$ of the tubular neighborhood of the curve $\tilde{L}$ (clearly, $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}$ is a three-dimensional sphere, and $\mathrm{f} \mid \tilde{\mathrm{S}}$ is an embedding) could be continued to an embedding of the four-dimensional ball $B^{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{-}$, then, obviously, it would be possible to lift on $\beta^{i} C$ an analytic structure so as to obtain a smooth rational curve with self-intersection number +1 , whose complement $D$ would be homeomorphic to $\mathrm{h}^{\dagger}$. It has been shown in $[2, \mathrm{p} .85]$ that in this case D would be isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{-}$, hence again we would obtain a counterexample to the Jacobian conjecture.

It can be verified that the Gauss degree of the mapping of $\tilde{S}$ into the sphere, obtained from the embedding $f \mid \tilde{S}$, is not one. Therefore the mapping constructed in this note cannot be extended to a mapping providing a counterexample to the Jacobian conjecture. The fact that this mapping is not extendible to a counterexample is also an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2 in [3].

In Sec. 2 with the help of the Lodaira theorem [4] it has been shown that a smooth rational curve with a positive self-intersection number on a smooth analytic surface (in particular, the curve $\tilde{\mathrm{L}}$ in the constructed example) has an arbitrarily small strongly pseudoconcave tubular neighborhood. For such a neighborhood we can take the union of curves corresponding to the points of a sufficiently small ball in the manifold of rational curves on the given surface. This fact, obviously, is interesting for its own.

## 1. Construction of an Example

1.1. Construction of the Image of a Branching Curve. Let us consider a curve $K$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, parametrized with the polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=t^{21}+6 t^{14}-\frac{63}{2} t^{12}+\frac{6.3}{4} t^{11}-\frac{6.3}{2} t^{10}-\frac{63}{2} t^{9}-\frac{39}{2} t^{7}- \\
& +63 t^{5}+\frac{6 \cdot 3}{2} t^{4}-\frac{819}{8} t^{3}+\frac{815}{8} t^{2}-\frac{9261}{32} t-\frac{4419}{8}, \\
& Q(t)=t^{14}-4 t^{7}+21 t^{5}-\frac{21}{2} t^{+}-21 t^{3}-21 t^{2}-17 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These polynomials satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{deg}_{i}\left(p(t)^{2}-Q(t)^{3}-\frac{y_{22 i i}}{16} Q(t)\right)=13 .  \tag{1}\\
& \text { g.c.d. }\left(p^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)=1 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let X be a compactification of $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ in which curve K has no singularities at infinity, i.e., X is a smooth compact algebraic surface containing a (reducible) curve L , such that $X-L \cong \mathrm{C}^{2}$, and the closure k of curve K transversally meets curve L at a unique point $\mathrm{p}_{\infty}$, which is a smooth point both on $k$ and $L$.

Pair ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{A}$ ), where $A$ is a curve on a smooth complex-analytic surface $Y$, will be called regular if all irreducible components of curve $A$ are smooth compact rational curves, meeting transversally but at most pairwise. We shall associate with each regular pair a dual weighted graph. The vertices of this graph correspond to irreducible components, and its edges to the points of intersections of the corresponding components. The weight of a vertex is minus the self-intersection number of the corresponding component. The signs have been so chosen in order that the determinant of the intersection matrix be not changed by blowing up a point on this curve. If it is clear which surface is concerned then we shall speak about a dual graph of a curve.

Let $\Gamma$ be a dual weighted graph of pair (X, L). By (1) it has been constructed as of Fig. 1 (the edge with an arrow corresponds to point $p_{\infty}$ ).
1.2. General Description of the Example. We shall construct a regular pair ( $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{\mathrm{L}}$ ) with a dual graph displacyed on Fig. 2, and a holomorphic mapping $f: \tilde{x} \rightarrow X$, such that the restriction of $f$ to $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}$ is locally one-to-one, $f(\tilde{X}-\tilde{L}) \subset X-L$ and $f(\mathcal{L}) \subset L \cup k$. This will be the proof of Proposition 0.1. Indeed, if some irreducible component of the curve $\tilde{\mathrm{L}}$ has selfintersection number -1 , and does not intersect more than two other components of the curve $\tilde{L}$, then it can be contracted to a point, and then we obtain again a regular pair. In order
to obtain its dual graph we should proceed with $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as follows: choose an arbitrary vertex v with weight 1 , with not more than two edges coming out of it; move away the vertex $v$ and the edges coming out of it; if from vertex $v$ two edges $v u_{1}$ and $v u_{2}$ were coming out, then add the edge $u_{1} u_{2}$; reduce the weights of vertices next to $v$ by one.

It is easy to verify that continuing the above procedure until the vertices with weight 1 are exhausted, after 228 contractions from graph $\Gamma$ we obtain a linear graph with weights $(2,2,2,2,2,2,0,-1)$. This graph, by means of blowing up and contractions, can be converted into a graph consisting of a single vertex with weight -1 (cf., [2, Lemma 5], it can be also easily verified directly). Obviously, the resulting regular pair satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 0.1.

To construct $\tilde{X}$ and $f$ we shall choose around every irreducible component $\ell$ of the curve $L$ a tubular neighborhood $U(\ell)$, such that $U(\ell)$ meets $U\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)$ only if $\ell$ meets $\ell{ }^{\prime}$, and in this case the intersection will be bicylinder with the center $l \cap l^{\prime}$. Let $\nu: \mathrm{k}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{k}$ be the normalization of the curve $k$. By (2) v is an embedding. Therefore there exists a tubular neighborhood $U^{*}$ of the null section of some linear bundle $E^{*} \rightarrow k^{*}$ and an embedding $V^{*}: U^{*} \rightarrow X$ such that $\left.v^{*}\right|_{k} *=v$ (we shall identify $k^{*}$ with the null section in $\left.E^{*}\right)$. Let $U(k)=v^{*}\left(U^{*}\right)$ and $U=U_{l<L} U(l)\left(l<L\right.$ means: $\ell$ is an irreducible component of curve $L$ ). For a subgraph $\Gamma_{i}$ of graph $\Gamma$ (see Fig. 1) we put $U\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=\bigcup_{l<L_{i}} U(l)$, where by $L_{i}$ we denote the union of irreducible components corresponding to the vertices of graph $\Gamma_{i}$. Let $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ be curves corresponding to the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$ (see Fig. 1).

We shall construct the surface $\tilde{X}$ and mapping $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ separately over each set $U(k)$, $U\left(\ell_{1}\right), U\left(\ell_{2}\right), U\left(\Gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, U\left(\Gamma_{4}\right)$, and further we shall show the congruence on the intersections. Moreover, over set $U$ mapping f will be a branched covering with the branching over $L \cup k$.
1.3. Construction of Coverings Over $\boldsymbol{U}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i}\right)$. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an integer $2 \times n$-matrix such that $\operatorname{det}(A(i, j))>0$ for $i<j[b y A(I, j)$ we denote the $2 \times 2$ submatrix of matrix $A$ composed with the i-th and $j$-th columns]. Denote by $\Sigma(A)$ the fan in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, whose one-dimensional cones are generated by the columns of the matrix $A$, and the two-dimensional ones by the pairs of adjacent columns. By $X(A)$ we denote the corresponding two-dimensional toroid manifold. It is smooth if all $\operatorname{det}(A(i, i+1))=1(c f .,[5])$.

LEMMA 1.3.1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an integer $2 \times n$ matrix, such that $\operatorname{det}(A(i, i+1))=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n=1$, and let $\ell$ be the closure of a one-dimensional orbit of the manifold $X(A)$ corresponding to the i-th column. Then, if $1<i<n$, then $\ell$ is compact and its self-intersection number is equal to $-\operatorname{det}(A(i-1, i+1))$.

With the help of Lemma 1.3 .1 it is easy to construct a matrix $A$, such that the dual graph of the union of compact orbits of the manifold X(A) is isomorphic to the given linear weighted graph. Let $A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ be such matrices for the graphs $\Gamma_{i}$ and $X_{i}=X\left(A_{i}\right)$. By the tautness of the toroid singularities [6] it is easy to show that $L_{i}$ can be identified with the union of the compact orbits of the manifold $X\left(A_{i}\right)$, and $U\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ with its neighborhood.

For each $i=1, \ldots, 4$ we shall consider a linear mapping $B_{i}: \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\sim}^{2}$ given by a matrix composed with the first and the last column of the matrix $A_{i}$, and let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ be a canonical partition of the fan $B_{i}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{i}\right)$ into primitive (i.e., generated by the bases of the integer latticecones (cf., [5, 8.4]). It can be directly verified that if $\sigma$ is one of two extreme twodimensional cones of the fan $\Sigma_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$, then $B_{i}^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a primitive cone. From this fact, and also from the coordinate description of toroid manifolds and mappings [7] there follows

LEMMA 1.3.2. Let p be a null-dimensional orbit in $\mathrm{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, corresponding to one of the extreme cones of the fan, $Y_{i}$ the union of compact one-dimensional orbits, and $\beta_{i}$ a toroid mapping associated with $B_{i}$. Then $\left.\beta_{i}\right|_{\beta_{i}^{-1}(V)}$ for some neighborhood $V$ of the point $p$ is a cyclic covering of degree $\operatorname{det} B_{i}$, branching over $V \cap Y$.

Let $\widetilde{X}_{1}=12 X\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{1}\right), \quad \widetilde{X}_{2}=18 X\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}\right), \quad \widetilde{X}_{3}=X\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{3}\right) \bigsqcup 7 X_{3}, \widetilde{X}_{4}=4 X\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{2}\right) \bigsqcup 8 X_{4} \quad$ (nX denotes a disjoint union of $n$ copies of space $X$ ), and let $f_{i}: \widetilde{X}_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$ be a mapping whose restriction to the components are either identity mappings, or the mappings $\beta_{i}$. Put $\tilde{\sigma}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=f_{i}^{1}\left(U\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right), \mathcal{L}_{i}=$ $f_{-}^{-1}\left(L_{i}\right)$. A direct computation shows that the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 1.3.3. The dual graph of the pair ( $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \tilde{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) is isomorphic (up to the weights) to graph $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i}$ (see Fig. 2: where some vertices and subgraphs have been indicated; the subgraph generated by all the remaining ones is $-\tilde{I}_{4}$ ).
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1.4. Construction of Coverings Over $U\left(\ell_{i}\right)$. Let $i=1$ or $2, D_{i}=U\left(l_{i}\right) \cap\left((L \cup k)-\bar{l}_{i}\right)$ This union of three-two-dimensional discs transversally intersects $\ell_{i}$. Therefore $U\left(\ell_{i}\right)-D_{i}$ is homotopically equivalent to a two-dimensional sphere with three punctures; m-fold coverings of the set $U\left(\ell_{i}\right)$ (necessarily connected), branching over $D_{i}$, are given by the homomorphism of the fundamental group $G_{i}=\pi_{1}\left(U\left(l_{i}\right)-D_{i}\right)$ into the group $S(\mathrm{~m})$ of permutations of m elements.

We shall now denote by $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}$ the generators of group $G_{1}$, corresponding to traveling around $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, and by $a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2}$ the generators of group $G_{2}$ corresponding to traveling around $L_{3}, L_{4}$, $k$. These elements satisfy the unique relationships $a_{i} b_{i} c_{i}=1$.

Let $\varphi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow S(36)$ be homomorphisms, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 30
\end{array}\right)(5631)(101132)(131433)(181934) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
22 & 23 & 35
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
25 & 26 & 36
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 7
\end{array}\right)(91512)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
17 & 28
\end{array} 20\right) \\
& \text { (21 } 27 \text { 24) (8 } 29 \text { 16), } \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4
\end{array}\right)(57)(1012)(1315)(1820)(2224)(2527)(330) \\
& (631)(1132)(1433)(1934)(2335)(2636)(18)(916) \\
& (1729)(2128) \text {, } \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(c_{1}\right)=\varphi_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array} 3 . \ldots 29\right) \text {, } \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right)=(2827 \ldots 22)(21 \ldots 15)(14 \ldots 8)(7 \ldots 1) \text {, } \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(c_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
29 & 22 & 15 & 8
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \varphi_{i}\left(a_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(b_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(c_{i}\right)=1 \dagger .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, these homomorphisms are well defined. Let $g_{i}: \tilde{U}\left(l_{i}\right) \rightarrow U\left(l_{i}\right)$ be a 36 -fold covering, branching over $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$, corresponding to homomorphism $\varphi_{i}(i=1,2)$. The curve $g_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{1}\right)$ is connected (on Fig. 2 vertex $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}$ corresponds to it), and a $g_{2}^{-1}\left(l_{2}\right)$ splits into 8 disjoint curves (vertices $\hat{v}_{2}^{(i)}$ ). On the curve corresponding to $\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{(0)}$ (and in its neighborhood) the mapping $g_{2}$ is 29-fold, and in neighborhoods of other curves it is one-fold.
1.5. Construction of a Mapping Over $U(k)$ LEMMA 1.5. $\mathrm{k}^{*} \cdot \mathrm{k}^{*}=5$.

COROLLARY. There exists a cyclic fivefold covering $\tau: \tilde{\theta}(k) \rightarrow U^{*}$, branching over $k *$.
Let $h=v^{*} \tau, \tilde{k}=\tau^{-1}\left(h^{*}\right)=h^{-1}(h)$ (on Fig. 2 to curve $\tilde{\mathrm{k}}$ corresponds vertex $\tilde{\mathrm{u}}$ ).
Proof of Lemma 1.5. Irreducible components of curve L form a basis in Pic X. Since the intersection numbers of the basic elements with each other and with the divisor of $k$
tWe write a product of permutations as a composition of mappings from the right to left, i.e., (12) $\cdot(23)=(123)$.
are known, we can find the decomposition of the divisor of $k$ with respect to this basis and its self-intersection number: $k \cdot k=91$. Having written the addition formula for each element of the basis we obtain a system of linear equations, from which we can find the decomposition of the canonical class of the surface $X$ with respect to this basis. Further, we can compute the arithmetic type $\pi_{a}(k)=43$. By (2) all singular points of the curve $k$ are simple double. It is known that the arithmetic type of such curves is bigger than the normalization type by the number of the double points. Consequently, $\pi_{a}(k)=d$, where $d$ is the number of the double points of curve $k$. It remains to prove that $k \cdot k=k * \cdot k \%+2 d$. Indeed, let us choose a $\mathrm{C}^{\infty}$-section of the bundle $\mathrm{E}^{*}$, close to the null section, whose image $\mathrm{k}_{1}^{*}$ is in $U^{*}$ and which meets transversally $k^{*}$ (recall that $k^{*}$ is $n$ image of a null section of $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ ) at points which are not coimages of singular points under the mapping $v$. Then $k \cdot k=\# k \cap v\left(k_{1}^{*}\right)=\#$ $k^{*} \cap k_{1}^{*}+2 d=k^{*} \cdot k^{*}+2 d$. The lemma has been proved.
1.6. Gluing Together Constructed Solutions (Conclusion of the Proof of Proposition 0.1).

The surface $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ and the mapping $\mathrm{f}: \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ mentioned in Proposition 0.1 will be glued with the surfaces $\tilde{U}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ (see 1.3), $\tilde{U}\left(\ell_{i}\right)$ (see 1.4), $\tilde{U}(k)$ (see 1.5), the mappings $f_{i}$, $g_{i}$, and $h$. From Lemma 1.3.2 it follows that the mappings $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ over the intersections of their give equivalent branching coverings. We shall join them together according to the mappings representing the equivalence. As a result we obtain a proper mapping $f_{0}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ which is a covering over $U-(L \cup k)$, while its restriction to one of the components of the set $f_{9}^{1}(U \cap$ $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{k}))$ is equivalent to the restriction of h to $h^{-1}(U \cap U(k))$. We shall glue $\tilde{\mathrm{U}}$ with $\tilde{\mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{k})$ according to this equivalence and denote by $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ the resulting surface.

Denote by $\tilde{\mathrm{L}}$ the curve made of $f_{i}^{-1}\left(L_{i}\right), g_{i}^{-1}\left(l_{i}\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})$. According to Sec. 1.2 it is enough to show that its dual graph is as on Fig. 2. For this, by virtue of Lemma 1.3.3 it remains to prove that $\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{1}=-72, \tau_{2}^{(n)} \cdot \tau_{2}^{(0)}=-29$ and $\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{k}=+1$. The first two equalities follow from part a) of the following lemma, and the third from part b) and Lemma 1.5 .

LEMMA 1.6. Let $F: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be an $m$-fold branching covering of real four-dimensional (necessarily compact) manifolds, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ a connected two-dimensional smooth compact submanifold in $M_{1}$, with $S_{1} 0 F^{-1}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Then
a) If $F$ has a branching of order $m$ along $S_{1}$, then $\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{1}\right)=m\left(S_{2} \cdot S_{2}\right)$.
b) If the image of the sub-manifold meets transversally $S_{2}$, then $m\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{1}\right)=\left(S_{2} \cdot S_{2}\right)$.

## 2. Existence of a Pseudoconcave Neighborhood

We shall prove the following theorem.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $X$ be a smooth complex-analytic surface and $Y$ a smooth compact rational curve with self-intersection number $m>0$ lying on it. Then $Y$ has an arbitrarily small strongly pseudoconcave tubular neighborhood.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $p: E \rightarrow \mathbf{C P}^{1}$ be a one-dimensional linear fiber bundle of degree $\mathrm{m}>0$, ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) a local analytic coordinates system in E , such that y is a linear coordinate in each fiber, $x$ a coordinate on $C P^{1}$, and $p(x, y)=x$. Let $y=u_{j}(x)(j=0, \ldots, m)$ be some basis of holomorphic sections of the bundle $E$. Then the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(u_{0}(x), \ldots, u_{m}(x)\right)=\left(u_{0}^{\prime}(x), \ldots, u_{m}^{\prime}(x)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not satisfied at any point and for any $\lambda$.
Proof. Since the fiber bundle E is isomorphic to a standard one, in some coordinate system ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) the space of its holomorphic sections coincides with the space of polynomials in $x^{\prime}$ of degree not greater than $m$. Moreover, the coordinates $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ can be expressed by $x$, $y$ by the formulas $x^{\prime}=f(x), y^{\prime}=y / g(x)$ where the functions $f^{\prime}$ and $g$ are nowhere null. Sections $u_{j}$ in the coordinates ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) have the form $y^{\prime}=P_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, where $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{m}$ is a basis in the space of polynomials of degree not greater than $m$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(x)=P_{k}(f(x)) g(x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that at some point x and for some $\lambda$ equality (3) holds. Substituting (4) into (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}(f(x))\left(\lambda g(x)-g^{\prime}(x)\right)=P_{k}^{\prime}(f(x)) f^{\prime}(x) g(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{P_{k}\right\}$ is a basis in the polynomials space, therefore 1 and $x^{\prime}$ can be expressed as their linear combinations of its elements. Applying these linear combinations to the equalities (5) we conclude that the equalities $\lambda g-g^{\prime}=0$ and $f\left(\lambda g-g^{\prime}\right)=f^{\prime} g$ hold at point $x$, which
implies that $f^{\prime} g=0$. The obtained contradiction proves the lemma.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $N$ be a normal fiber bundle of the curve $Y$ in the surface $X$, and let $O(N)$ be the sheaf of germs of its holomorphic sections. Then $O(N) \geqslant O(m)$, consequently, $H^{0}(\mathrm{Y}, O(N)) \cong \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime \prime+1}, H^{1}(Y, O(N))=0$, and, by the Kodaira theorem [4], there exists on X a family of smooth curves $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}, t=\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \equiv B$ ( B is a ball in $\mathrm{C}^{n+1}$ with the center at 0), satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Y}_{0}=\mathrm{Y}$; (ii) $V=\left\{(x, t) \mid x \in Y_{\}}\right\}$is a smooth analytic hypersurface in $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{B}$; (iii) the linear mapping $\sigma: T_{0} B-H^{0}(Y, O(N)$ ) is an isomorphism, where $\sigma$ is defined in the following way. Let ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) be local coordinates on X , in which $Y$ is given by the equation $y=0$, and let ( $x, \eta$ ) be the corresponding coordinates on $N$ (i.e., $\eta=d y$ ). Since $Y_{t}$ is a smooth curve, then by the implicit function theorem the surface $V$ can be defined by the equation $F(x, y, t)=0$, where $F=f(x, t)-y$. Then $\sigma\left(\partial / \partial t_{i}\right)$ is defined as a section of the bundle $N$, which in the coordinates ( $x, \eta$ ) is given by the equation $\eta=\partial f / \partial t_{i}(x, 0)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of coordinates.

Let pr be the projection of $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{B}$ on X . On the set $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{pr}(\mathrm{V})$ there is defined a real function $\varphi(p)=\min _{(p, t) \in V}|t|^{2}, p \in X_{0}, t \in B$. We shall show (which will conclude the proof of the theorem) that $X_{0}$ is a neighborhood (on $X$ ) of the curve $Y$, and that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ the set $U_{\varepsilon}=\left\{p \in X_{0} \mid \varphi(p)<\varepsilon\right\}$ is strongly pseudoconcave. It is sufficient to prove these two facts for every coordinate neighborhood on the surface $X$. We shall show it in the coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) introduced above.

Let $u_{j}(x)=\partial f / \partial t_{j}(x, 0)$. According to (iii) $\eta=u_{j}(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{j}=0, \ldots, \mathrm{~m})$ are linearly independent sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(N) \cong O(m)$. From this we can easily derive that the functions $u_{j}$ and $u_{j}^{\prime}$ cannot vanish anywhere all at the same time. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|^{2}>0, \text { where } u=\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) it follows that $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{pr} \mid \mathrm{v})=2$ in some neighborhood of the curve Y , thus $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ is a neighborhood of $Y$.

If a point $p=(x, y) \in X_{0}$. is fixed, then $\{t \in B \mid(p, t) \in V\}$ is a smooth submanifold in $B$, and $\min |t|^{2}$ is achieved at its internal point $t=\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$. Consequently, by the Lagrange theorem on the conditional extremum, there exists for this point an $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad F=0  \tag{7}\\
& \lambda \partial F / \partial \bar{t}_{j}=\bar{t}_{j}, j=0, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For $t_{0}=\ldots=t_{m}=y=0$ the Jacobian of the system (7), (8) with respect to the variables $\lambda, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}$ is equal to $\pm|u|^{4}$, and, according to (6), is not null. Consequently, for a sufficiently small $y$ this system has a unique solution $\lambda=\lambda(x, y), t_{j}=t_{j}(x, y)$, with $\varphi(x, y)=\Sigma\left|t_{j}(x, y)\right|^{2}$. Expanding function $F=f(x, t)-y$ into a series with respect to $t$ and inserting it into (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{j} u_{j}(x) t_{j}+O\left(|t|^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every fixed $j$, expressing all $t_{i}$ from (8) by means of $t_{j}$, and substituting them in (9), we obtain

$$
y \bar{u}_{j}=|u|^{2} t_{j}+O\left(|t|^{2}\right)(j=0, \ldots, m)
$$

consequently $\left(|u|^{2}\right.$ will be denoted by $\left.\mu\right), t_{j}(x, y)=y \bar{u}_{j} / \mu+O\left(|y|^{2}\right)$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{\varphi}(x, y)=y \bar{y} / \mu+O\left(|y|^{3}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{1}=\varphi_{y} \mu / \bar{y}=1+O(|y|), \quad \xi_{2}=-\varphi_{x} \mu / \bar{y}=y \mu_{x} / \mu+O(|y|)^{2} . \quad$ Clearly, $\mathrm{y} \neq 0$ for $\varphi \neq 0$. Therefore, $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is a complex tangent vector to the surface $\varphi=$ const $\neq 0$. Differentiating (10) we shall find the values of the Levi form of the function $\varphi$ on vector $\xi$ :

$$
L(\xi)=\sum_{i, j} \varphi_{x_{i} \bar{T}_{j}} \xi_{i} \bar{E}_{j}=-\frac{y \bar{y}}{\mu^{3}}\left(\mu \mu_{x \bar{x}}-\left|\mu_{x}\right|^{2}\right)+O\left(|y|^{3}\right) \text { here } x_{1}=x, x_{2}=y
$$

It remains to notice that by the Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality $\mu \mu_{s} \bar{x}-\left|\mu_{x}\right|^{2} \geqslant 0$, where the equality is reached only when vector $u^{\prime}$ is proportional to vector $u$. However, the latter is impossible by virtue of Lemma 2.2. The theorem has been proved.

The author is very grateful to A. G. Vintushkin for posing the problem, and for many useful discussions and remarks concerning the preparation of the manuscript.
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A MINIMAL PERMUTATION REPRESENTATION OF THE FINITE
SIMPLE JANKO GROUP $\mathrm{J}_{4}$
V. M. Sitnikov

UDC 512

As shown in [1], the study of minimal permutation representations of known finite simple groups, i.e., representations on the cosets of proper subgroups of minimal index, is one of the paths to the classification of the finite simple groups. In the present paper we study a minimal permutation representation of the sporadic simple Janko group $J_{4}$.

It was shown by Mazurov and Mazurova [2] that a proper subgroup of minimal index in $J_{4}$ is a subgroup $M$ isomorphic to a nontrivial split extension of an elementary Abelian group of order $2^{11}$ by the Mathieu group $M_{24}$. The index of $M$ in $J_{4}$ is equal to 173067389 .

THEOREM. The permutation representation of $J_{4}$ on the cosets of the subgroup $M=2^{11}$ : $M_{24}$ has subdegrees $1,82575360,28336,15180,32643072,54405120,3400320$. The corresponding twopoint stabilizers are isomorphic to $M=2^{11}: M_{24}, \quad L_{2}(23), \quad 2^{1+12}: 3 \Sigma_{6}, \quad 2^{3+12}\left(\Sigma_{3} \times L_{3}(2)\right), \quad\left[2^{\top}\right] \cdot \Sigma_{5}$, $\left[2^{8}\right] \cdot\left(\Sigma_{3} \times \Sigma_{3}\right),\left[2^{12}\right]\left(\Sigma_{3} \times \Sigma_{3}\right)$.

Inour subgroup notation, $2^{m+k}$ denotes a special group of order $2^{m+k}$ with a center of order $2^{\mathrm{m}}$; $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ denotes an elementary Abelian group of order $2^{\mathrm{m}}$; [2m] denotes a subgroup of order $2^{m}$; $A \cdot B$ ( $A: B$ ) denotes an extension (split extension) of $A$ by $B$.

The permutation representation of a gorup $\mathscr{G}$ on the cosets of a subgorup $H$ is the action of $\mathscr{G}$ on the set $\mathscr{G} \mid H=\{H g \mid g \in \mathscr{G}\}$, defined by the rule

$$
H g \rightarrow H g x \forall x \in \mathscr{G}
$$

The corresponding permutation character $\chi$ of $\mathscr{G}$ agrees with the group character induced on $\mathscr{G}$ from the trivial character $\chi=1_{H}^{s}$ of the subgroup $H$. For this permutation character we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{H}^{j}(x)=\frac{\left|x^{3} \Gamma_{1} H\right| \cdot\left|C_{z}(x)\right|}{|H|}=\frac{\left|x^{j} \cap H\right| \cdot\left|C_{s}(x)\right|}{\left|x^{H} \cap H\right| \cdot\left|C_{H}(x)\right|} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{x^{y} \cap H\right\}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{x_{i}^{H} \cap H\right\}$, this relation can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{H}^{\hat{g}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|G_{\mathscr{S}}(x)\right|}{\left|C_{H}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{j}=\left\{g^{-1} x g \mid g \in \mathscr{G}\right\}$ is the class of elements conjugate to x in $\mathscr{G}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are representatives of the different conjugacy classes in $H$ into which the set $\left\{x^{\xi} \cap H\right\}$ splits. Obviously $\chi(\mathrm{x})=1$ if and only if $\left|C_{j}(x)\right|=\left|C_{E}(x)\right|$ and $x^{\hat{j}} \cap H=x^{H} \cap H$, and $\chi(\mathrm{x})=0$ if and only if $x^{\text {s }} \cap H=\varnothing$.
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