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Introduction. Let $\alpha$ be a differential 1-form which defines the standard (tight) contact structure in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, e. g., $\alpha=x d y-y d x+d z$. A link $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is called transversal if $\left.\alpha\right|_{L}$ does not vanish on $L$. Transversal links are considered up to isotopies such that the link remains transversal at every moment. Transversal links and their invariants are being actively studied, see, e. g., $[2,6,7,11]$ and numerous references therein. In the present paper, we propose a purely algebraic approach to construct invariants of transversal links (similar to Jones' approach [5] to construct invariants of usual links). The only geometry used is the transversal analogue of Alexander's and Markov's theorems proved in [1] and [10] respectively.

Let $B_{n}$ be the group of $n$-braids. We denote its standard (Artin's) generators by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. Let $B_{\infty}=\lim B_{n}$ be the limit under the embeddings $B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n+1}$, $\sigma_{i} \mapsto \sigma_{i}$. Let $k$ be a commutative ring and $u, v$ indeterminates. We set $A=k[u]$, $A_{v}=k[u, v]$ and we denote the corresponding group algebras by $k B_{\infty}, A B_{\infty}$ and $A_{v} B_{\infty}$. Let $\pi: k B_{\infty} \rightarrow H_{\infty}$ be a surjective morphism of $k$-algebras. We extend it to the morphisms (also denotes by $\pi$ ) of $A$ - and $A_{v}$-algebras $A B_{\infty} \rightarrow A H_{\infty}=H_{\infty} \otimes_{k} A$ and $A_{v} B_{\infty} \rightarrow A_{v} H_{\infty}=H_{\infty} \otimes_{k} A_{v}$.

Let $R$ be the $A$-submodule of $A B_{\infty}$ generated by all the elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y-Y X, \quad X \sigma_{n}-u X \quad \text { where } \quad X, Y \in B_{n}, n \geq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $R_{v}$ be the $A_{v}$-submodule of $A_{v} B_{\infty}$ generated by (1) and also by $X \sigma_{n}^{-1}-v X$ for $X \in B_{n}, n \geq 1$. Let $M=A H_{\infty} / \pi(R)$ and $M_{v}=A_{v} H_{\infty} / \pi\left(R_{v}\right)$. We say that the quotient map $t_{v}: A_{v} H_{\infty} \rightarrow M_{v}$ is the universal Markov trace on $H_{\infty}$. Due to Alexander's and Markov's theorems, it defines a link invariant $P_{t_{v}}(L)=$ $u^{(-n-e) / 2} v^{(-n+e) / 2} t_{v}(X) \in M_{v} \otimes_{A_{v}} k\left[u^{ \pm 1 / 2}, v^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right]$ where $L$ is the closure of an $n$-braid $X$ and $e=e(X)=\sum_{j} e_{j}$ for $X=\prod_{j} \sigma_{i_{j}}^{e_{j}}$.

Similarly, by the transversal analogue of Alexander's and Markov's theorems, the quotient map ${ }^{1} t: A H_{\infty} \rightarrow M$ defines a transversal link invariant $P_{t}(L)=$ $u^{-n} t(X) \in M \otimes_{A} k\left[u^{ \pm 1}\right]$ where $L$ is the closure of an $n$-braid $X$.

Of course, these invariants do not make much sense unless there is a reasonable solution to the identity problem in $M$ or in $M_{v}$. For example, if ker $\pi=0$, then $P_{t}$ is not really better than the tautological invariant $I(L)=L$. However, if $k=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $A_{v} H_{\infty}=A_{v} B_{\infty} /\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\alpha \sigma_{1}+1\right)$, then $M_{v}=A_{v} /(u+\alpha+v) \cong A$ and $P_{t_{v}}$ is the HOMFLY-PT polynomial up to variable change.

[^0]In [8], a description of $M_{v}$ is given when $H_{\infty}$ is a quotient of $k B_{\infty}$ by cubic relations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}^{3}-\alpha \sigma_{1}^{2}+\beta \sigma_{1}=1, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\delta} \sigma_{1}^{-\delta} \sigma_{2}^{\delta}=\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} c_{\varepsilon, \delta} \sigma_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \sigma_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \sigma_{1}^{\varepsilon_{3}}, \quad \delta= \pm 1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E=\left\{\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}^{3} \mid \varepsilon_{2}=0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}=0\right\}, \alpha, \beta, c_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in k$. We have in this case $M_{v}=A_{v} / I_{v}$ and a Gröbner base of $I_{v}$ can be computed at least theoretically. Moreover, $I_{v}$ is computed in practice in a particular case when $H_{\infty}$ is the Funar algebra [4] and $\beta=0$. The computations may be fastened using [9].

In this paper we adapt the construction from [8] for the computation of $M$ when $H_{\infty}$ is defined by (2). We show that in this case $M \cong \hat{A} / \hat{I}$ where $\hat{A}=$ $A\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots\right]$ and $\hat{I}$ is an ideal of $\hat{A}$. For any $d$, we give an algorithm to compute the ideal $\hat{I}+\left(v_{d+1}, v_{d+2}, \ldots\right)$. Thus, we define an infinite sequence (indexed by $d$ ) of computable transversal link invariants which carries the same information as the universal semi-Markov trace on the cubic Hecke algebra given by (2).
$\S 1$. Monoid of braids with marked points. Let $\hat{B}_{n}$ be the monoid of $n$ braids with a finite number of points marked on the strings. Algebraically it can be described as the monoid generated by $\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ (see Figure 1 ), subject to the standard braid group relations and the relations $q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i}, i, j=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$, and $q_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} q_{T_{j}(i)}$ where $T_{j}$ is the transposition $(j, j+1)$. Each element of $\hat{B}_{n}$ can be written in a unique way in the form $q_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots q_{n}^{a_{n}} X, X \in B_{n}$, $a_{i} \geq 0$, so, $\hat{B}_{n}=Q_{n} \rtimes B_{n}$ where $Q_{n}$ is the free abelian monoid generated by $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$.


Figure 1. Generators of $\hat{B}_{n}$
Let $\hat{B}_{\infty}^{\sqcup}$ be the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{B}_{n}$. If an ambiguity is possible, we use the notation $(X)_{n}$ to emphasize that a word $X$ represents an element of $\hat{B}_{n}$, for example, the braid closure of $(1)_{n}$ is the trivial $n$-component link.
Theorem 1. Transversal links are in bijection with the quotient of $\hat{B}_{\infty}^{\sqcup}$ by the equivalence relation generated by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(X Y)_{n} \sim(Y X)_{n}, & X, Y \in \hat{B}_{n}, n \geq 1 & \text { (conjugations), } \\
(X)_{n} \sim\left(X \sigma_{n}\right)_{n+1}, & X \in \hat{B}_{n}, n \geq 1 & \text { (positive Markov moves) } \\
\left(X q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(X \sigma_{n}^{-1}\right)_{n+1}, & X \in \hat{B}_{n}, n \geq 1 & \text { (negative Markov } q \text {-moves) }
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Follows easily from Lemma 2.
Let $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$ (strong equivalence) be the equivalence relation on $\hat{B}_{\infty}^{\sqcup}$ generated by conjugations and positive Markov moves only.

Lemma 1. (Key Lemma) Let $X \in \hat{B}_{n}, \varepsilon= \pm 1, X_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=X \sigma_{n}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{2 \varepsilon}$ and $X_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=$ $X \sigma_{n-1}^{2 \varepsilon} \sigma_{n}^{-1}$. Then $\left(X_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{n+1} \stackrel{s}{\sim}\left(X_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n+1}$.
Proof. Let $a=\sigma_{n-1}, b=\sigma_{n}, c=\sigma_{n+1}, \bar{a}=a^{-1}, \bar{b}=b^{-1}, \bar{c}=c^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}^{\prime}=X \bar{b} a a \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mm}} X \underline{\bar{b} a b} \underline{b c \bar{b} \bar{b}} a=X a b \underline{\bar{a} \bar{c} \bar{c}} b \underline{c a}=X a b \bar{c} \bar{c} \bar{a} b a \underline{c} \\
& \xrightarrow{c y c} \underline{c X a} b \bar{c} \bar{c} \underline{\bar{a} b a}=X a \underline{c b \bar{c} \bar{c}} b a \bar{b}=X a \underline{\bar{b} \bar{b} c b b} a \bar{b} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mm}} X_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& X_{-1}^{\prime}=X \underline{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} b \bar{a}=X \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mm}} X \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{b} c b \bar{a} b \bar{a}=\underline{X} \bar{a} c b \bar{c} \bar{c} \bar{a} b \bar{a}=\underline{c} X \bar{a} b \bar{c} \bar{c} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \\
& \xrightarrow{\text { cyc }} X \bar{a} b \underline{\bar{c} \bar{a}} b \underline{\bar{a} c}=X \bar{a} b \bar{a} \underline{\bar{c} \bar{c} b c} \bar{a}=X \bar{a} b \bar{a} b \underline{b c \bar{b}} \bar{b} \bar{a} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mm}} X \bar{a} b \underline{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}=X_{-1}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\operatorname{deg}_{q}: \hat{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the monoid homomorphism such that $\operatorname{deg}_{q}\left(q_{i}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{q}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=0$ for any $i$. We call $\operatorname{deg}_{q}(X)$ the $q$-degree of $X$.

Lemma 2. (Diamond Lemma) If $\left(X q_{n}\right)_{n} \stackrel{s}{\sim}\left(X^{\prime} q_{m}\right)_{m}$, then either $\left(X \sigma_{n}^{-1}\right)_{n+1} \stackrel{s}{\sim}$ $\left(X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}\right)_{m+1}$ or there exist $Z, Z^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{\sqcup}$ related to $X \sigma_{n}^{-1}$ and $X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}$ as follows (the arrows represent negative Markov $q$-moves which decrease the $q$-degree):

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X \sigma_{n}^{-1} & \stackrel{s}{\sim} & Z & & Z^{\prime \prime \prime} & \stackrel{s}{\sim} & X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}  \tag{3}\\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& Z^{\prime} & \stackrel{s}{\sim} & Z^{\prime \prime} & &
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2

Proof. Since $X q_{n} \stackrel{s}{\sim} X^{\prime} q_{m}$, there exists a sequence of words $X q_{n}=Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{t}$ of the form $Y_{i}=X_{i} q_{k_{i}} \in \hat{B}_{n_{i}}$ such that $Y_{t}$ is a cyclic permutation of $X^{\prime} q_{m}$ and for any pair of consecutive indexes $i, j(j=i \pm 1)$ one of the following possibilities holds up to exchange of $i$ and $j$ :
(i) $n_{j}=n_{i}, k_{j}=k_{i}, X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ represent the same element of $\hat{B}_{\infty}^{\sqcup}$;
(ii) $n_{j}=n_{i}+1, k_{j}=k_{i}, X_{i}=U V, X_{j}=U \sigma_{n_{i}} V$;
(iii) $n_{j}=n_{i}, X_{i}=U \sigma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, X_{j}=\sigma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} U, k_{j}=T_{\ell}\left(k_{i}\right), \varepsilon= \pm 1$;
(iv) $n_{j}=n_{i}, k_{j}=k_{i} \neq \ell, X_{i}=U q_{\ell}, X_{j}=q_{\ell} U$.

For $i<j$, we denote $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \ldots \sigma_{j-1}$ by $\pi_{i, j}$ and we set $\pi_{i, i}=1$. Let $Z_{i}=$ $X_{i} \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}}^{-1} \in \hat{B}_{n_{i}+1}$ (see Figure 2). It is enough to prove that:
(a) $Z_{i} \stackrel{\stackrel{s}{\sim}}{\sim} Z_{j}$ in all cases $(i)-(i v)$ (this implies $X \sigma_{n}^{-1}=Z_{0} \stackrel{s}{\sim} Z_{t}$ ) and
(b) either $Z_{t}=X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}$ or we have $Z_{t} \stackrel{s}{\sim} Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \stackrel{s}{\sim} Z^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow Z^{\prime \prime \prime} \stackrel{s}{\sim} X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}$ where the arrows mean the same as in (3).

Assertion (a) either is evident or follows from Lemma 1. For example, in Case (ii), we have $Z_{i} \stackrel{s}{\sim} Z_{j}$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{i}=U V \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}}^{-1} \stackrel{\stackrel{S}{\sim} U \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \sigma_{n_{i}+1} \sigma_{n_{i}} V \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}}^{-1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Z_{i}^{\prime},}{Z_{j}=U \sigma_{n_{i}} V \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}+1} \sigma_{n_{i}+1}^{-1} \pi_{k_{i}, n_{i}+1}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{n_{j}} Z_{j} \sigma_{n_{j}}^{-1}=Z_{i}^{\prime} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Case (iii), $k_{i}=\ell+1, \varepsilon=-1$ we have $Z_{i} \stackrel{s}{\sim} Z_{j}$ by Lemma 1 because

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{i} & =U \sigma_{\ell}^{-1} \pi_{\ell+1, n_{i}} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \pi_{\ell+1, n_{i}}^{-1}=V \sigma_{n_{i}-1}^{-2} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} W \\
Z_{j} & =U \pi_{\ell, n_{i}} \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \pi_{\ell, n_{i}}^{-1} \sigma_{\ell}^{-1}=V \sigma_{n_{i}}^{-1} \sigma_{n_{i}-1}^{-2} W \quad \text { for } \\
V & =U \pi_{\ell+1, n_{i}} \pi_{\ell, n_{i}-1} \sigma_{n_{i}-1}, \quad W=\pi_{\ell, n_{i}-1}^{-1} \pi_{\ell+1, n_{i}}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In all the other cases Assertion (a) is either similar or easier.
It remains to prove Assertion (b). We know that $Y_{t}$ is a cyclic permutation of $X^{\prime} q_{m}$. If $Y_{t}=X^{\prime} q_{m}$, then $Z_{t}=X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}$ and we are done. Otherwise we have $X^{\prime}=U q_{k} V$ and $Y_{t}=V q_{m} U q_{k}$ for some $k \leq m$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{t}=V q_{m} U \pi_{k, m} \sigma_{m}^{-1} \pi_{k, m}^{-1} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \sigma_{m} U \pi_{k, m} \sigma_{m}^{-1} \pi_{k, m}^{-1} V \sigma_{m}^{-1} q_{m+1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \\
& X^{\prime} \sigma_{m}^{-1}=U q_{k} V \sigma_{m}^{-1} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \pi_{k, m+1}^{-1} V \sigma_{m}^{-1} U \pi_{k, m+1} q_{m+1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Z^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow Z^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $Z^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime \prime}$ are conjugate.
Remark 1. Theorem 1 admits also a geometric proof based on the interpretation of the marked points as local modifications introduced in [3] which increase the Thurston-Bennequin number (see the extended version of [10]).
$\S$ 2. From $A$ to $\hat{A}$. Let the notation be as in the introduction and let $\hat{A} \hat{B}_{\infty}$ be the semigroup algebra of $\hat{B}_{\infty}$ with coefficients in $\hat{A}$. We have $k B_{\infty} \subset A B_{\infty} \subset \hat{A} \hat{B}_{\infty}$. Let $\hat{H}_{\infty}$ be the quotient of $\hat{A} \hat{B}_{\infty}$ by the bilateral ideal generated by $\operatorname{ker} \pi$ and let $\hat{\pi}: \hat{A} \hat{B}_{\infty} \rightarrow \hat{H}_{\infty}$ be the quotient map.

Let $\hat{R}$ be the submodule of $\hat{A} \hat{B}_{\infty}$ generated by all the elements of the form

$$
X Y-Y X, \quad X \sigma_{n}-u X, \quad X \sigma_{n}^{-1}-X q_{n}, \quad q_{n+1}^{a} X-v_{a} X, \quad q_{1}^{a}-v_{a}
$$

with $X, Y \in \hat{B}_{n}$ and $n, a \geq 1$. Let $\hat{M}=\hat{H}_{\infty} / \hat{\pi}(\hat{R})$ and let $\hat{t}: \hat{H}_{\infty} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be the quotient map.
Theorem 2. (a). $M$ and $\hat{M}$ are isomorphic as A-modules. (b). If, moreover, $H_{\infty}$ is given by (2), then $\hat{M}$ is generated by $\hat{t}(1)$ as an $\hat{A}$-module.
Proof. (a). Follows from Theorem 1. (b). Follows from the fact that $\hat{H}_{n+1}=$ $\left\langle q_{n+1}\right\rangle \hat{H}_{n}+\hat{H}_{n} \sigma_{n} \hat{H}_{n}+\hat{H}_{n} \sigma_{n}^{-1} \hat{H}_{n}$ where $\left\langle q_{n+1}\right\rangle=\left\{1, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}^{2}, \ldots\right\}$.

Thus $\hat{M}=\hat{A} / \hat{I}$ where $\hat{I}$ is the annihilator of $\hat{M}$.
$\S$ 3. Description of $\hat{I}$. In this section we assume that $\hat{H}_{\infty}$ is defined by (2). Let $F_{n}^{+}$(resp. $\hat{F}_{n}$ ) be the free monoid freely generated by $x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}$ (resp. by $\left.x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ and let $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}$ be the semigroup algebra of $\hat{F}_{n}$ over $\hat{A}$. We define the basic replacements as in $[8 ; \S 2.1,(i)-(v i i i)]$ and we add to them

$$
(i x) x_{i} q_{j} \rightarrow q_{T_{i}(j)} x_{i}
$$

We define $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\text {red }}$ and $\mathbf{r}: \hat{A} \hat{F}_{n} \rightarrow \hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\text {red }}$ similarly to [8; $\left.\S 2.2\right]$ using the replacements $(i)-(i x)$. Then $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\text {red }}$ is the free $\hat{A}$-module freely generated by the elements of the form $q X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{n-1}, q \in Q_{n}, X_{i} \in S_{i}$ where $S_{i}$ are as in [8; (5)]. We define $\hat{\tau}_{n}$ : $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \hat{A} \hat{F}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{red}}$ by setting $\hat{\tau}_{n}\left(q q_{n}^{a} X x_{n-1} Y\right)=\mathbf{r}\left(q X q_{n-1}^{a} Y\right), \hat{\tau}_{n}\left(q q_{n}^{a} X x_{n-1}^{-1} Y\right)=$ $\mathbf{r}\left(q X q_{n-1}^{a+1} Y\right), \hat{\tau}_{n}\left(q q_{n}^{a} X\right)=v_{a} q X$ for $q \in Q_{n-1}, X, Y \in F_{n-1}^{+}$. We extend $\hat{\tau}_{n}$ to $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}$ by setting $\hat{\tau}_{n}(X)=\hat{\tau}_{n}(\mathbf{r}(X))$ and we define $\hat{\tau}: \hat{A} \hat{F}_{\infty} \rightarrow \hat{A} \hat{F}_{0}=\hat{A}$ by $\hat{\tau}(X)=$ $\hat{\tau}_{1} \hat{\tau}_{2} \ldots \hat{\tau}_{n}(X)$ for $X \in \hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}$.

Let $\operatorname{sh}^{n}$ be the $\hat{A}$-algebra endomorphism of $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{\infty}$ defined by $\operatorname{sh} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+n}$, $\operatorname{sh} q_{i}=$ $q_{i+n}$. We set $\operatorname{sh}=\operatorname{sh}^{1}$. For $X \in F_{n+1}^{+}$, we define $\rho_{n, X} \in \operatorname{End}_{\hat{A}}\left(\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\text {red }}\right)$ by setting $\rho_{n, X}(Y)=\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(X \operatorname{sh} Y)$.

Let $\hat{J}_{4}$ be the minimal $\hat{A}$-submodule of $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{4}^{\text {red }}$ which satisfies the conditions
(J1) $\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{r}\left(X_{3} X_{2}\right) X_{1}\right)-\mathbf{r}\left(X_{3} \mathbf{r}\left(X_{2} X_{1}\right)\right) \in \hat{J}_{4}$ for any $X_{j} \in \operatorname{sh}^{3-j} S_{j} \backslash\{1\}, j=1,2,3$;
(J2) $\rho_{4, X}\left(\hat{J}_{4}\right) \subset \hat{J}_{4}$ for any $X \in S_{4}$.
Similarly, let $\hat{J}_{3}$ be the minimal $\hat{A}$-submodule of $\hat{A} \hat{F}_{3}^{\text {red }}$ which satisfies
$\left(\mathrm{J} 1^{\prime}\right) q_{i} \mathbf{r}(X)-\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{r}(X) q_{j}\right) \in \hat{J}_{3}$ for any $X=x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{1}} x_{1}^{\varepsilon_{2}} x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{3}}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{3} \in\{-1,1\}, \varepsilon_{2} \in$ $\{-1,0,1\}, i=1,2,3, j=T_{2} T_{1}^{\varepsilon_{2}} T_{2}(i)$.
$\left(\mathrm{J}^{\prime}\right) \rho_{3, X}\left(\hat{J}_{3}\right) \subset \hat{J}_{3}$ for any $X \in S_{3}$.
Let $\hat{N}=\hat{A} \hat{F}_{2}^{\text {red }} \otimes_{\hat{A}} \hat{A} \hat{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{red}}$. We define $\hat{A}$-linear mappings $\hat{\tau}_{N}: \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ and $\rho_{\delta}: \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{N}, \delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}^{2}$, by setting $\hat{\tau}_{N}\left(Y_{1} \otimes Y_{2}\right)=\hat{\tau}\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right), \rho_{\delta}\left(Y_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.Y_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{\delta_{1}} \otimes \hat{\tau}_{3}\left(\left(\operatorname{sh} Y_{1}\right) x_{1}^{\delta_{2}} \operatorname{sh} Y_{2}\right)$. Let $\hat{L}$ be the minimal $\hat{A}$-submodule of $\hat{N}$ satisfying
(L1) $\hat{\tau}_{3}\left(x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{1}} x_{1}^{\varepsilon_{2}} x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{3}}\right) \otimes x_{1}^{\varepsilon_{4}}-x_{1}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \otimes \hat{\tau}_{3}\left(x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{3}} x_{1}^{\varepsilon_{4}} x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) \in \hat{L}$ for any $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{3} \in\{-1,1\}$ and for any $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{4} \in\{-1,0,1\}$;
(L2) $\rho_{\delta}(\hat{L}) \subset \hat{L}$ for any $\delta \in\{-1,0,1\}^{2}$.
Theorem 3. $\hat{I}=\hat{\tau}\left(\hat{J}_{4}\right)+\hat{\tau}\left(\hat{J}_{3}\right)+\hat{\tau}_{N}(\hat{L})$.
A proof repeats almost word by word the proof of Main Theorem in [8] (we ignore the variables $q_{i}$ when we define the weight function on $\hat{F}_{\infty}$ ).

Each of the modules $\hat{J}_{4}, \hat{J}_{3}, \hat{L}$ is defined as the limit of an increasing sequence of submodules of a finite rank $\hat{A}$-module. Since $\hat{A}$ is not Noetherian, this does not give yet a way to compute them. However, we can approximate $\hat{A}$ by Noetherian rings $\hat{A}_{d}=A\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right]$ and the projections $\mathrm{pr}_{d}(\hat{I})$ can be effectively computed where $\operatorname{pr}_{d}: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}_{d}$ is the quotient by the ideal $\left(v_{d+1}, v_{d+2}, \ldots\right)$. Namely, let $\left(\hat{A} \hat{F}_{n}^{\text {red }}\right)_{d}$, $\left(\hat{J}_{4}\right)_{d},\left(\hat{J}_{3}\right)_{d},(\hat{N})_{d},(\hat{L})_{d}$ be the $\hat{A}_{d}$-modules obtained by the above procedure but with the additional relations $q_{i}^{d+1}=0$ for any $i$. Then we have $\operatorname{pr}_{d}(\hat{I})=\hat{\tau}\left(\hat{J}_{4}\right)_{d}+$ $\hat{\tau}\left(\hat{J}_{3}\right)_{d}+\hat{\tau}_{N}(\hat{L})_{d}$ and these modules (at least theoretically) can be computed as limits of increasing sequences of Noetherian modules. The rank of $\left(\hat{A} \hat{F}_{4}^{\text {red }}\right)_{d}$ (the module where $\left(\hat{J}_{4}\right)_{d}$ sits) is equal to $315(d+1)^{4}$. We hope that, at least for $d=1$ or 2 , the computations can be performed in practice.

Remark 2. If $\beta=0$ (the case when the Groebner base of $I_{v}$ was computed in [8]), then the obtained transversal link invariants a priori cannot detect transversally non-simple links. Indeed, in this case we have $1=\alpha \sigma_{1}^{-1}+\sigma_{1}^{-3}$, hence $q_{1}=q_{1}\left(\alpha \sigma_{1}^{-1}+\right.$ $\left.\sigma_{1}^{-3}\right)=\left(\alpha \sigma_{1}^{-1}+\sigma_{1}^{-3}\right) q_{2}=q_{2}$. Thus $q_{1}=q_{2}=q_{3}=\ldots$ whence $v_{1}=v_{2}=\ldots$ and we obtain $M=M_{v}, t=t_{v}$ and $P_{t}(L)=(v / u)^{(n-e) / 2} P_{t_{v}}(L)$, i. e., the invariant $P_{t}$ reduces to a usual link invariant $P_{t_{v}}$ and Thurston-Bennequin number $n-e$.

Remark 3. By [9], all the computations in the huge module $\left(\hat{A} \hat{F}_{4}^{\text {red }}\right)_{d}$ can be done with the coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ or in $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ for $m$ not very big.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I propose to call it universal semi-Markov trace on $H_{\infty}$.

