# QUADRATIC POLYNOMIALS, MULTIPLIERS AND EQUIDISTRIBUTION 

XAVIER BUFF AND THOMAS GAUTHIER


#### Abstract

Given a sequence of complex numbers $\rho_{n}$, we study the asymptotic distribution of the sets of parameters $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the quadratic maps $z^{2}+c$ has a cycle of period $n$ and multiplier $\rho_{n}$. Assume $\frac{1}{n} \log \left|\rho_{n}\right| \rightarrow L$. If $L \leq \log 2$, they equidistribute on the boundary of the Mandelbrot set. If $L>\log 2$ they equidistribute on the equipotential of the Mandelbrot set of level $2 L-2 \log 2$.


## Introduction

In this article, we study equidistribution questions in the parameters space of the family of quadratic polynomials

$$
f_{c}(z):=z^{2}+c, c \in \mathbb{C}
$$

We denote by $K_{c}$ the filled-in Julia set of $f_{c}$ and by $J_{c}$ the Julia set:

$$
K_{c}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid\left(f_{c}^{\circ n}(z)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text { is bounded }\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{c}:=\partial K_{c}
$$

The Mandelbrot set $M$ is the set of parameters $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 \in K_{c}$.


Figure 1. The Mandelbrot set $M$.
The Green functions $g_{c}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and $g_{M}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ are defined by

$$
g_{c}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \max \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} \log \left|f_{c}^{\circ n}(z)\right|, 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g_{M}(c):=g_{c}(c)
$$

[^0]The bifurcation measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ is defined by

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}:=\Delta g_{M}
$$

The support of the measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ is the boundary of the Mandelbrot set $M$.
Let $\rho$ be a complex number with $|\rho| \leq 1$. For $n \geq 1$, denote by $X_{n}$ the set of parameters $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the quadratic polynomial $f_{c}$ has a cycle of period $n$ with multiplier $\rho$. Let $\nu_{n}$ be the probability measure

$$
\nu_{n}:=\frac{1}{\operatorname{card}\left(X_{n}\right)} \sum_{x \in X_{n}} \delta_{x}
$$

Bassanelli and Berteloot [BB] proved that as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, the sequence of measures $\nu_{n}$ converges to the bifurcation measure $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ (in fact, they prove a more general result, valid in families of polynomials of arbitrary degree). In this note, we prove that the result holds without the assumption that $|\rho| \leq 1$ and we study cases where the multiplier is not fixed.

First, the set of parameters we will consider may fail to equidistribute on the boundary of the Mandelbrot set. They may equidistribute on an equipotential, i.e. a level curve of the function $g_{M}$. For $\eta \geq 0$, we let $\mu_{\eta}$ be the probability measure defined by

$$
\mu_{\eta}:=\Delta \max \left(g_{M}, \eta\right)
$$

For $\eta>0$, the support of $\mu_{\eta}$ is exactly the equipotential $\left\{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid g_{M}(c)=\eta\right\}$.
Second, we are interested in parameters $c \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $f_{c}$ has a cycle of period $n$ with multiplier $\rho$. When $|\rho|>1$, we may have to count such parameters $c$ with multiplicities. We proceed as follows. If $f_{c}$ has no parabolic cycle, we set:

$$
R_{n}(c, \rho):=\prod_{C \text { cycle of } f_{c} \text { of period } n}(\rho-\rho(C))
$$

where $\rho(C)$ is the multiplier of $C$ as a cycle of $f_{c}$. According to Bassanelli and Berteloot $\left[\mathrm{BB}\right.$, this defines a polynomial $R_{n} \in \mathbb{C}[c, \rho]$ which is therefore defined even when $f_{c}$ has parabolic cycles.

Examples. The fixed point of $f_{c}$ are the roots of $z^{2}-z+c$ and the multiplier of $f_{c}$ at a fixed point $z$ is $\rho=2 z$. The resultant of $z^{2}-2 z+c$ and $\rho-2 z$ as polynomials in $z$ is $\rho^{2}-2 \rho+4 c$ :

$$
R_{1}(c, \rho)=\rho^{2}-2 \rho+4 c
$$

The periodic points of period 2 are the roots of $z^{2}+z+c+1$ and the multiplier at a periodic point z is $\rho=4 z\left(z^{2}+c\right)$. The resultant of $z^{2}+z+c+1$ and $\rho-4 z\left(z^{2}+c\right)$ as polynomials in $z$ is $(\rho+4 c+4)^{2}$ :

$$
R_{2}(c, \rho)=\rho+4+4 c
$$

Similarly, one computes

$$
R_{3}(c, \rho)=\rho^{2}-16 \rho+64-8 \rho c+64 c+128 c^{2}+64 c^{3}
$$

The degree $d_{n}$ of $R_{n}(c, \rho)$ as a polynomial of the variable $c$ does not depend on $\rho$. It may be defined recursively by

$$
d_{1}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad d_{n}=2^{n-1}-\sum_{\substack{m \text { divides } n \\ m \neq n}} d_{m}
$$

As $n \rightarrow+\infty$ we have $d_{n} \sim 2^{n-1}$. For $n \geq 1$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$
u_{n, \rho}:=\frac{1}{d_{n}} \log \left|R_{n}(c, \rho)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \nu_{n, \rho}:=\Delta u_{n, \rho}
$$

The measure $\nu_{n, \rho}$ is a probability measure and its support is the set of parameters $c$ such that $f_{c}$ has a cycle of period $n$ and multiplier $\rho$ (except when $\rho=1$, in which case the support also contains parameters of period $k$ dividing $n$ whose multiplier is a $n / k$-th root of unity).

Our result is the following.
Theorem. Let $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left|\rho_{n}\right|=L \in[-\infty,+\infty)
$$

As $n \rightarrow+\infty$, the sequence $\left(\nu_{n, \rho_{n}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $\mu_{\eta}$ with $\eta:=\max (0,2 L-2 \log 2)$.
The note is organised as follows. In Section 1, we establish the Theorem relying on two Lemmas. The first one, which is proved in Section 2, is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\left(u_{n, \rho_{n}}\right)$ outside of the compact set $\{c \in$ $\left.\mathbb{C} \mid g_{M}(c) \leq \eta\right\}$. The second one is a direct application of a general comparison lemma for subharmonic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ which we establish in Section 3.

## 1. Strategy of the proof of the Theorem

Let us set

$$
v:=g_{M}+2 \log 2: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)
$$

Let $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left|\rho_{n}\right|=L \in[-\infty,+\infty)
$$

and set

$$
\eta:=\max (0,2 L-2 \log 2)
$$

Our proof is based on the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. If $c \in \mathbb{C}-M$ satsifies $g_{M}(c)>\eta$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{n, \rho_{n}}(c)=v(c)
$$

Lemma 2. Any subharmonic function $u: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ which coincides with $v$ outside $M$ coincides with $v$ everywhere.

The proof is then completed as follows. Extracting a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the sequence of probability measures $\nu_{n_{k}, \rho_{n_{k}}}$ converges to some limit $\nu$. According to Lemma 1, the sequence $u_{n_{k}, \rho_{n_{k}}}$ then converges in $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ to a limit $u$ which satisfies

$$
\Delta u=\nu \quad \text { and } \quad u(c)=v(c) \text { if } g_{M}(c)>\eta
$$

In addition, for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
u(c) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{n_{k}, \rho_{n_{k}}}(c)
$$

with equality outside a polar set. According to Lemma 1 the subharmonic functions $u$ and $v$ coincide in the region $\left\{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid g_{M}(c)>\eta\right\}$. If $L \leq \log 2$, i.e. if $\eta=0$, Lemma 2 implies that $u=v$ and so

$$
\nu=\Delta u=\Delta\left(g_{M}+2 \log 2\right)=\Delta g_{M}=\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}
$$

So, let us consider the case $L>\log 2$, or equivalently $\eta>0$. Note that for $n \geq 2$ the cycles of $f_{0}(z)=z^{2}$ of period $n$ all have multiplier $2^{n}$. There are $k_{n} / n$ such points, where $k_{n}$ is the number of periodic points of period $n$ which may be defined recursively by

$$
k_{1}:=1 \quad \text { and } \quad k_{n}=2^{n}-\sum_{\substack{m \text { divides } n \\ m \neq n}} k_{m} .
$$

As $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we have $k_{n} \sim 2^{n}$. Since $L>\log 2,2^{n}=\mathrm{o}\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ and so:

$$
u_{n, \rho_{n}}(0)=\frac{k_{n} / n}{d_{n}} \log \left|2^{n}-\rho_{n}\right| \sim \frac{2^{n} / n}{2^{n-1}} \log \left|\rho_{n}\right| \rightarrow 2 L
$$

As a consequence, $u(0) \geq 2 L$. According to [R, Theorem 3.8.3],

$$
\limsup _{\substack{g_{M}(c) \rightarrow \eta \\ g_{M}(c)<\eta}} u(c)=\max _{g_{M}(c)=\eta} u(c)=\limsup _{\substack{g_{M}(c) \rightarrow \eta \\ g_{M}(c)>\eta}} u(c)=2 L .
$$

The Maximum Principle for subharmonic functions implies that $u(c)=2 L$ as soon as $g_{M}(c) \leq \eta$. So,

$$
u=\max \left(g_{M}+2 \log 2,2 L\right)=\max \left(g_{M}, \eta\right)+2 \log 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \nu=\mu_{\eta}
$$

## 2. Outside the Mandelbrot set

We now study the behaviour of the multipliers of $f_{c}$ when $c$ is not in $M$. Our aim in the present section is to prove Lemma 1 .

First, when $c \in \mathbb{C}-M$, the Julia set $J_{c}$ of $f_{c}$ is a Cantor set and $f_{c}: J_{c} \rightarrow J_{c}$ is conjugate to the shift on 2 symbols. In addition, when $c$ varies outside $M$, the Julia set moves locally holomorphically. However, it is not quite true that the holomorphic motion is parameterized by $\mathbb{C}-M$ : if we start with $c \in(1 / 4,+\infty)$ and follow the Julia set as $c$ turns around the Mandelbrot set, every point comes back to its complex conjugate. After 2 turns, the points come back to their initial location. See BDK for details. To avoid the monodromy problems, it is more convenient to pass to a cover of degree 2 of $\mathbb{C}-M$.

Let $\phi_{M}: \mathbb{C}-M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be the conformal representation which sends $(1 / 4,+\infty)$ to $(1,+\infty)$ and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, set

$$
\mathfrak{c}(\lambda):=\phi_{M}^{-1}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) .
$$

Then, the holomorphic map $\mathfrak{c}: \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-M$ is a covering map of degree 2 .
Set $I:=\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $\sigma: I \rightarrow I$ be the shift. A point $\mathbf{i}:=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right) \in I$ is called an itinerary. There is a map $\psi:(\mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}$, the map $\psi_{\lambda}: \mathbf{i} \mapsto \psi(\lambda, \mathbf{i})$ is a bijection between $I$ and $J_{\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)}$ conjugating $\sigma$ to $f_{\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)}$ :

$$
\psi_{\lambda} \circ \sigma=f_{\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)} \circ \psi_{\lambda}
$$

- for all $\mathbf{i} \in I$, the map $\psi_{\mathbf{i}}: \lambda \mapsto \psi(\lambda, \mathbf{i})$ is holomorphic.

We may choose the map $\psi$ so that for $\lambda \in(1 / 4,+\infty)$, the map $\psi_{\lambda}$ sends itineraries for which $i_{0}=0$ in the upper half-plane and itineraries for which $i_{0}=1$ in the lower half-plane. Then,

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \sqrt{-1} \cdot \lambda \text { if } i_{0}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim}-\sqrt{-1} \cdot \lambda \text { if } i_{0}=1
$$

Next, if $\mathbf{i}$ is periodic of period $n$ for $\sigma$, let $\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)$ be the multiplier of $\psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)$ as a fixed point of $f_{\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)}^{\circ}$. Note that

$$
\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda):=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} 2 \cdot \psi_{\sigma^{\circ k}(\mathbf{i})}(\lambda) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot(2 \lambda)^{n} \quad \text { with } \quad \varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}}= \pm \cdot(\sqrt{-1})^{n}
$$

Since $\rho_{\mathbf{i}}$ has local degree $n$ at $\infty$, we may write $\rho_{\mathbf{i}}=\varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{n}$ for some holomorphic $\operatorname{map} \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ which satisfies

$$
\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} 2 \lambda \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}(\omega \lambda)=\omega \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda) \text { if } \omega^{n}=1
$$

The maps $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ take their values in $\mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and so, form a normal family.
Let $\left(\mathbf{i}_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of periodic itineraries of period $n$. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be a limit value of the sequence $\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{i}_{n}}: \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}\right)$. Then, $\sigma$ is tangent to $\lambda \mapsto 2 \lambda$ at infinity and commutes with rotations. Thus, $\sigma(\lambda)=2 \lambda$. Now, if $c \in \mathbb{C}-M$, then $c=\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)$ with $\log |\lambda|=\frac{1}{2} g_{M}(c)$. As $n \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\log \left|\sigma_{\mathbf{i}_{n}}(\lambda)\right|-\frac{1}{n} \log \left|\rho_{n}\right| \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \log |2 \lambda|-L=\frac{g_{M}(c)-\eta}{2}
$$

So, if $g_{M}(c)>\eta$, then there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any periodic itinerary $\mathbf{i}$ of high enough period $n$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{\rho_{n}}{\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)}\right|=\left|\frac{\rho_{n}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)^{n}}\right| \leq \mathrm{e}^{-n \varepsilon}
$$

In that case

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n, \rho_{n}}(c) & =\frac{1}{d_{n}} \sum_{\substack{\text { i periodicitinerary } \\
\text { of period } n}} \frac{1}{n} \log \left|\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)-\rho_{n}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{d_{n}} \sum_{\substack{\text { i periodic itinerary } \\
\text { of period } n}} \log \left|\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)\right|+\frac{1}{n} \log \left|1-\frac{\rho_{n}}{\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda)}\right| \\
& =\frac{k_{n}}{d_{n}} \log |2 \lambda|+\mathrm{o}\left(\frac{k_{n}}{d_{n}}\right) \sim 2 \log |2 \lambda|=g_{M}(c)+2 \log 2
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof of Lemma 1 .

## 3. Comparison of Subharmonic functions

The proof of Lemma 2 relies on the following more general result.
Lemma 3. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set such that $\mathbb{C}-K$ is connected. Let $v$ be a subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Delta v$ is supported on $\partial K$ and does not charge the boundary of the connected components of the interior of $K$. Then, any subharmonic function $u$ on $\mathbb{C}$ which coincides with $v$ outside $K$ coincides with $v$ everywhere.

Proof. According to [R, Theorem 3.8.3], for all $\zeta \in \partial K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\zeta)=\limsup _{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}-K \\ z \rightarrow \zeta}} u(z)=\limsup _{\substack{z \mathbb{C}-K \\ z \rightarrow \zeta}} v(z)=v(\zeta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $u=v$ on $\partial K$. The same theorem shows that, if $\zeta$ belongs to the boundary of a connected component $U$ of the interior of $K$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\substack{z \in \bar{U} \\ z \rightarrow \zeta}} u(z)=u(\zeta)=v(\zeta)=\limsup _{\substack{z \in \bar{U} \\ z \rightarrow \zeta}} v(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, consider the function $w_{1}$ defined by

$$
w_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}\max (u, v) & \text { on } U \\ v & \text { on } \mathbb{C}-U\end{cases}
$$

According to Equations (1) and (22), $w_{1}$ is upper-semicontinuous. It is subharmonic on $U$ and $\mathbb{C}-\bar{U}$. At any point $\zeta \in \partial U$, it satisfies the local submean inequality since $w_{1}(\zeta)=v(\zeta)$ and $v \leq w_{1}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, $w_{1}$ is globally subharmonic on $\mathbb{C}$ and coincides with $v$ outside $U$. Consider a smooth test function $\chi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[0,1]$ which vanishes near $\infty$ and is constant equal to 1 near $K$. On the one hand,

$$
\Delta v(\mathbb{C})=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi \cdot \Delta v=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta \chi \cdot v=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta \chi \cdot w_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi \cdot \Delta w_{1}=\Delta w_{1}(\mathbb{C})
$$

On the other hand, $\Delta v=\Delta w_{1}$ outside $\bar{U}$. Therefore,

$$
\Delta w_{1}(\bar{U})=\Delta w_{1}(\mathbb{C})-\Delta w_{1}(\mathbb{C}-\bar{U})=\Delta v(\mathbb{C})-\Delta v(\mathbb{C}-\bar{U})=\Delta v(\bar{U})=0
$$

So, $\Delta v=\Delta w_{1}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The difference $v-w_{1}$ is harmonic and vanishes outside $U$. Therefore $w_{1}=v$ on $\mathbb{C}$ and so, $\max (u, v)=v$ on $U$. It follows that $u \leq v$ on $U$. Since this holds for any connected component $U$ of the interior of $K$, we have $u \leq v$ on $\mathbb{C}$.

Next, consider the function $w_{2}$ defined by

$$
w_{2}(z)= \begin{cases}u & \text { on } U \\ v & \text { on } \mathbb{C}-U\end{cases}
$$

As previously, $w_{2}$ is upper-semicontinuous and subharmonic on $U$ and $\mathbb{C}-\bar{U}$. At any point $\zeta \in \partial U$, it satisfies the local submean inequality since $w_{2}(\zeta)=u(\zeta)$ and $u \leq w_{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. As previously, $\Delta v=\Delta w_{2}$ outside $\bar{U}$ and vanishes on $\bar{U}$, so that $\Delta v=\Delta w_{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The function $v-w_{2}$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{C}$ and vanishes outside $\bar{U}$. So, $w_{2}=v$ on $\mathbb{C}$. In particular $u=v$ on $U$.

Since this is valid for any connected component $U$ of the interior of $K$, we have $u=v$ on $\mathbb{C}$ as required.

Let us now explain how to deduce Lemma 2 from Lemma 3. According to Zakeri [Z], there is a set of parameters $c$ which is of full measure for $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ such that

- $J_{c}$ is locally connected and full, in particular $c$ is not in the boundary of a hyperbolic component of $M$ and
- the orbit of $c$ is dense in $J_{c}$, in particular $c$ is not renormalizable and so, not in the boundary of a queer component of $M$.
As a consequence, $\mu_{\text {bif }}$ does not charge the boundary of connected components of the interior of $M$. So, we may apply Lemma 3 with $K:=M$ and $v:=g_{M}+2 \log 2$. This yields Lemma 2 .
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